

Secretary Brouillette  
Secretary Of Energy  
United States Department of Energy  
1000 Independence Ave. SW  
Washington DC 20585

Jan. 20, 2020

Dear Secretary Brouillette:

As you know from news reports, Congressional reports and DOE staff: The United States Department Of Energy '*fucked Scott in the ass*' and Chu, Moniz and Perry paid for it with their careers.

Let us help you to *not be* the next Secretary of Energy shamed, sued, doxed, terminated and exposed globally in the media. Our investigators, including the FBI and Congressional Committee forensics specialists have the resources to legally make your life a nightmare. We tried doing it "the nice way", previously, with the last three Secretaries and that got us nowhere. We can do this again the easy way or the hard way!

Let's not do it again either way, though, let's resolve this, finally, with a settlement!

Your staff cost our client his life because he reported an organized crime operation functioning within the The United States Department Of Energy. You and your crony associates operated political reprisal attacks against him using taxpayer resources.

As you know, our law enforcement victims have been assisting federal investigators with an investigation of political corruption by U.S. Department of Energy employees and contractors since 2001. An ongoing series of attacks; political reprisals; revenge media character assassinations using groups like Fusion GPS, Black Cube, Gizmodo, etc; and vendetta actions have been financed, operated and ordered by Department of Energy staff against us.

We have filed Form 95 claims via certified mail (You are past the deadline to respond to them). Our other federal efforts to seek justice by Department of Energy staff have been stonewalled, stymied, obfuscated, delayed, and sat on. Your office refused to take action because they are ashamed and politically embarrassed. Your office has refused to respond and hopes that the matter will "*just go away*". The internet and new social media tools have guaranteed that it will never "*go away*" in your lifetime. We have built powerful new technologies that amplify the documentation of these crimes and corruptions forever. Additionally, We won our past legal actions and placed the evidence on federal court records and global internet repositories forever. (ie: [www.majestic111.com](http://www.majestic111.com) and hundreds of thousands of other auto-generated WIKI's around the planet)

Your staff attempted to destroy us with tens of millions of dollars of media attacks. That was the wrong plan for Steven Chu and his cronies to undertake. His staff is still present in your offices and still engaging in these attacks and obfuscations. The clock on the statute-of-limitations is restarted every morning they keep this up!

We have attached a security notice which proves that every employee, contractor and insider involved with ATVM AND LGP funding, planning, operations and decisions has been identified and under surveillance since 2006. All of the "bad guys" and their covert stock market insider trades, revolving door payola kick-backs, schemes and accounts are now known to the FBI, journalists and now, the public. Your own United States Department Of Energy IT people have reported to Congress that DOE servers have been hacked "over 300 times". Every employee, lobbyist and influencer is on the ClearView, Yandex and international journalist face-tracking and location mapping databases. It is no longer

possible for the culprits at DOE to deny the connections. Even the Chinese hackers offer such data for sale to any lawyer willing to pay the fee. Denial is out of the question.

While some of your underlings have pooh-pooh'd the claim that federal executives might do anything bad, the news and Congressional reports prove the truth to be shocking. As the news reports say, per these clippings,:

*"...The "SpyGate" or "FISA Abuse" case in the current White House involves the abuse of public agencies to attack those they are politically opposed to. Average citizen Department of Energy, SSA, IRS, HUD and other applicants suffer the same fate.*

*The San Mateo, California Social Security Administration offices are under investigation because one, or more, of their staff used SSA resources to attack an Applicant because a staff member (erroneously) thought that an Applicant was opposed to that SSA staffer's beliefs on "open-borders". The SSA official ordered up reprisal operations, against the Applicant, simply to vent that SSA officials political angers. That is illegal.*

*A vast number of agency abuse cases and lawsuits are now on public record in the Inspector General's offices and federal courts.*

*It is an indisputable fact that some government agencies run "hit-jobs" on citizens on orders from certain corrupt politicians. These actions are felony violations of the law.*

*Federal and State Agencies including SSA, FEC, DOE, HHS, VA, CIA, HUD, SA, SEC, FBI, DOJ and many others, have been charged, and found guilty, in these crimes against citizens.*

*In the Congressional investigation published by the United States Congress in review of the U.S. Department of Energy LGP/ATVM programs, it is clearly proven that the U.S. Department of Energy was used as a slush-fund by some DOE executives in order to pay off campaign financiers by attacking and sabotaging their competitors.*

*The DOE Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant under contracts with the Department of Energy and the government-owned U.S. Enrichment Corp **paid \$5M** whistle-blower awards to those whistle-blowers who were attacked, using government agency resources, for reporting a crime.*

*Dept. of Energy Hanford URS has **agreed to settle a lawsuit brought by former employee Walter Tamosaitis for \$4.1 million**. The settlement in the whistle-blower case comes almost one year before the case was set for a jury trial in federal court in Richland and compensates Tamosaitis for attacks against him, by DOE officials, in retribution for reporting a crime.*

*VA officials attacked hundreds of citizens who reported corruption, ie:  
<https://www.thenewamerican.com/usnews/health-care/item/18610-va-whistleblowers-facing-retribution>.*

*As shown in this report: <https://www.pogo.org/analysis/2018/08/new-report-confirms-whistleblower-retaliation-is-alive-and-well-at-department-of-veterans-affairs/>  
, Agencies attack often and harshly.*

*CIA and NSA executives have been widely shown to use spy tools to attack domestic citizens they don't like, ie: <https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-2435011/NSA-employees-used-phone-tapping-tools-spy-girlfriends-cheating-husbands.html> , and hundreds of other news links that can be provided.*

*Elon Musk and Tesla, as well as Eric Schmidt and Larry Page at Google, have been proven to use the CIA group: IN-Q-TEL, to run government sponsored/financed attacks on business competitors.*

*In Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-00777-RBW GOVERNMENT AGENCIES WERE CAUGHT BEING USED FOR ATTACKS AGAINST CITIZENS AND PUNISHED IN THE COURT AND THE MEDIA!*

*The IRS, and hordes of other government agencies have been caught and proven, IN COURT, to target and attack people for presumed political differences.*

*Why should we assume that the Social Security Administration is not ALSO doing this too to harm citizens who speak out?*

*The Lois Lerner IRS attacks took many years to resolve. In an unprecedented victorious conclusion to a four year-long legal battle against the IRS, the bureaucratic agency admitted in federal court that it wrongfully targeted citizens, during the Obama Administration, because of their political viewpoints and issued an apology to those people for doing so.*

*In addition, the IRS is consenting to a court order that would prohibit it from ever engaging in this form of unconstitutional discrimination in the future.*

*In a proposed Consent Order filed with the Court, the IRS has apologized for its treatment of U.S. citizens including organizations from 20 states that applied for 501(c)(3) and (c)(4) tax-exempt status with the IRS between 2009 and 2012 -- during the tax-exempt determinations process. Crucially, following years of denial by the IRS and blame-shifting by IRS officials, the agency now expressly admits that its treatment of our clients was wrong and a total violation of our Democracy..*

*As set forth in the proposed Order:*

*“The IRS admits that its treatment of Plaintiffs during the tax-exempt determinations process, including screening their applications based on their names or policy positions, subjecting those applications to heightened scrutiny and inordinate delays, and demanding of some Plaintiffs’ information that TIGTA determined was unnecessary to the agency’s determination of their tax-exempt status, was wrong. For such treatment, the IRS expresses its sincere apology.”*

*Throughout litigation of this case, activists have remained committed to protecting the rights of the public who faced unlawful and discriminatory action by the IRS and other agencies. The objective from the very beginning has been to hold agencies accountable for corrupt practices.*

*This Consent Order represents a historic victory for the public and sends the unequivocal message that a government agency’s targeting of citizens organizations, or any organization, on the basis of political viewpoints, will never be tolerated and that revenge will be swift and vast.*

*The Order will put an end, once and for all, to the abhorrent practices utilized against citizens, as the agreement includes the IRS’s express acknowledgment of – and apology for – its wrongful treatment of the public. While this agreement is designed to prevent any such practices from occurring again, rest assured that all public interest lawyers will remain vigilant to ensure that the IRS, SSA, DOJ or SEC does not resort to such tactics in the future.*

*Per detailed reports, in March of 2012 lawyers began being contacted by literally dozens of citizens and groups who were being harassed by the Obama IRS after submitting applications for tax-exempt status. Their tax-exempt applications were held up for years (over seven years in some cases), and they began receiving obtrusive and unconstitutional requests for donor and member information. That began a now more than five and a half year fight with the burgeoning bureaucracy at the IRS. Then on May 10, 2013, Lois Lerner, the then head of the IRS Tax Exempt Organizations Division, publicly implicated the IRS in one of the worst political targeting scandals of the century.*

*This is an extraordinary victory against government agency abuse. It sends a powerful warning to the deep state bureaucracy that it will not be allowed to violate the Constitution in order to silence and shut down the whistle-blowers.*

*In addition to the IRS’s admissions of and apology for its wrongful conduct, the Consent Order would specifically award Plaintiffs the following:*

*- A declaration by the Court that it is wrong to apply the United States tax code to any tax-exempt applicant or entity based solely on such entity's name, any lawful positions it espouses on any issues, or its associations or perceived associations with a particular political movement, position or viewpoint;*

*- A declaration by the Court that any action or inaction taken by the IRS must be applied evenhandedly and not based solely on a tax-exempt applicant or entity's name, political viewpoint, or associations or perceived associations with a particular political movement, position or viewpoint; and*

*- A declaration by the Court that discrimination on the basis of political viewpoint in administering the United States tax code violates fundamental First Amendment rights. Disparate treatment of taxpayers based solely on the taxpayers' names, any lawful positions the taxpayers espouse on any issues, or the taxpayers' associations or perceived associations with a particular political movement, position or viewpoint is unlawful.*

*In the Order, the IRS has also agreed that (unless expressly required by law) certain actions against the Plaintiffs— i.e. the sharing, dissemination, or other use of information unnecessarily obtained by the IRS during the determinations process (such as donor names, the names of volunteers, political affiliations of an organization's officers, etc.) – would be unlawful. In addition, the IRS promises not to take any retaliatory action against our clients for exposing the targeting scheme.*

*Finally, and of crucial significance, the IRS admits it targeted persons and groups based on their viewpoints (i.e., "policy positions") and that such viewpoint discrimination violates fundamental First Amendment rights. This is the first time the IRS has admitted that its targeting scheme was not just "inappropriate" – as TIGTA found – but, as alleged, blatantly unconstitutional.*

*To ensure consistency and uniformity within the agency's operations going forward, the IRS is required, pursuant to the Order, to inform all employees within the Exempt Organizations Division, as well as the Commissioners and Deputy Commissioners within other divisions, of the Order's terms.*

*This Order not only validates allegations about their treatment at the hands of the corrupt Obama-era IRS but also provides important assurances to the American public that the agency understands its obligation to refrain from further such discriminatory conduct. As Attorney General Sessions acknowledged in this regard, "[t]here is no excuse for [the IRS's] conduct," as it is "without question" that the First Amendment prohibits the conduct that occurred here, i.e., subjecting American citizens to disparate treatment "based solely on their viewpoint or ideology." Sessions further confirmed his Department's commitment to ensuring that the "abuse of power" in which the IRS engaged here "will not be tolerated."*

*It is impossible to overstate the importance of this victory. This marks a years-long fight for justice in defense of the constitutional rights of the public.*

*This is an extraordinary victory against abuse of power and corruption.*

*It sends a powerful warning to the deep state bureaucracy that it will not be allowed to violate the Constitution and manipulate the IRS, SSA and other agencies in order to silence and shut down those who speak out about political corruption crimes.*

*In the wake of Wisconsin Watchdog's investigation into SSA staff allegations of incompetence, misconduct, and retaliation in Social Security disability appeals offices, several employees have taken their complaints to a Senate committee led by Wisconsin Sen. Ron Johnson.*

*An official with knowledge of the complaints said the Senate Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Committee, chaired by the Oshkosh Republican, has received emails and other contacts from "certain people" inside the Social Security Administration's Office of Disability Adjudication and Review.*

*The initial complaints came from an employee inside the Milwaukee office following Wisconsin Watchdog's opening investigative report that found some claimants waiting more than 1,000 days for an appeals decision on their disability benefits claim.*

*Following Wednesday's story of a whistleblower in the Madison ODAR office, the committee has received more specific complaints about retaliation against employees, the source said.*

*Committee staff members sent the latest Watchdog piece to SSA administrators hoping they will "cooperate," the source said. To date, the agency has been less than cooperative.*

*"This is an ongoing process, and they are not always as forthcoming as we'd like them to be," the source said. "Hopefully with your continued reporting, this is an issue they can't duck."*

*A Senate committee member said officials there are working with the Office of Special Counsel on "multiple whistleblower retaliation claims." The committee continues to request information from the SSA.*

*The whistleblower in the Madison office claims management retaliated against her after she was called to testify in a misconduct case. The incident involved "inappropriate behavior" by an administrative law judge, she said.*

*"They are so corrupt. It's absolutely horrible," said the woman, a lead case technician in the Madison Office of Disability Adjudication and Review.*

*She spoke on condition of anonymity, fearing more retribution from her supervisors. While she said recounting her particular experiences will more than likely betray her identity anyway, the ODAR case worker insisted she has had enough.*

*"I'm at point where they don't care about me, I don't see why I'm protecting them. This is my last resort," she said. "I want to do my work without fear of retaliation."*

*She said she has contacted the Senate committee.*

*"I forwarded my information to them and I got an email back from them. They said people are coming out of the woodwork with their complaints (about ODAR) following your story," the whistle-blower said.*

*Ronald Klym, a long-time senior legal assistant in the Milwaukee ODAR office, alleges he has been retaliated against by supervisors for going public with his charges of incompetence and misconduct in the agency.*

*The federal employee, who has worked for SSA for 16 years, provided Wisconsin Watchdog with documents showing extremely long wait times for claimants appealing their denied applications for benefits.*

*Doug Nguyen, SSA regional spokesman, in a previous story said the agency acknowledges that Milwaukee ODAR has a "high average processing time for disability appeal hearings, and we are working to address the issue."*

*Beyond the delays is what Klym calls the "shell game," the wholesale transferring of cases to other parts of the country by administrators to make the Milwaukee office's numbers look better than they are.*

*The Madison office whistle-blower confirmed Klym's allegations, saying at one point she saw 2,000 cases from the Milwaukee office handed off to the Oak Brook operation.*

*There are over 10,000 SSA disability manipulation charges against SSA executives and staff...."*

Our associates sued you before and won. The top lawyer for the best one of those cases is now the lawyer for the President of the United States in the White House. **The Department of Energy must pay for a personal lawyer for**

**Scott because DOJ and LSC have confirmed that he meets the requirements to receive a "State financed" lawyer to prosecute his Constitutional Rights and damages personal case.**

There are tens of thousands of news reports about federal offices being used for political retaliation. The key card logs, federal building cameras, OPM records, computer logs, cell tower data sets and other materials prove who is doing it, these days, though! (ie: <http://cia-ops.com> and thousands of other sites )

California and Washington DC politicians, and their Silicon Valley financiers at Google, Tesla, Facebook, Netflix, etc., will do ANYTHING including lying, bribery, cheating and murder, in order to try to get hold of the trillions of taxpayer dollars in the Treasury and the hundreds of trillions of dollars moving through manipulated stock markets that they have access to. They live in mansions, buy jets and islands, have movie star parties every weekend, and have sex workers and drugs delivered to them constantly. These U.S. Senators and Silicon Valley oligarchs are addicted to this corruption and they will do ANYTHING to keep it going, including paying ongoing bribes to Department of Energy officials and employees.

We have millions of voting citizens and portions of federal law enforcement and IC helping us. What do the corrupt people at The Department of Energy have to protect them except the darkness of failed transparency? **Deeds done in the dark always come to light.**

We want to **GUARANTEE YOU** that the crimes and corruption of the United States Department of Energy will never, ever, be forgotten, and they will accelerate in the media annually, until you pay for the damages you caused!

We have proven that we have the public resources, the advocate billionaires, 100% legal interdiction tactics and the legal right to our compensation for damages and injustice.

We helped past Applicant citizens instigate Congressional corruption investigations and hearings against the most senior members of the State and Federal government. These actions resulted in the termination of very famous public officials including the Secretary of Energy, his staff, White House Executives and more. The cases broke-up some of your crony criminal embezzlement scams. It nearly resulted in the President being forced to leave office, mid-term, based on revelations of a massive crony stock market kick-back scheme which began to be exposed after the FBI raid of Solyndra. The director of the FBI was fired for assisting in cover-ups related to this matter because the matter was reported directly to him.

We natural-born, American, domestic group of engineers were attacked with a \$30 million dollar+ (per uncovered billing notices) retribution/political reprisal program contracted by White House political operatives, aDOE staff and their appointees, who were also the business competitors of the engineers.

The attackers used Fusion GPS-type character assassination smear campaigns (operated by their cronies at Google, Gawker, Gizmodo, Jalopnik and Facebook), NVCA black-listing, Solyndra-laundering, stone-walling, Lois Lerner-class agency manipulation and search engine rigging. In-Q-Tel turns out to be the only federally financed "charity" whose staff are also employed by each of the suspects in this case and who financed the suspects in this case. It was revealed that White House executives ordered government agencies to harm members of the public and to reprisal with-hold public resources from the public. This was a violation of tort, RICO and anti-trust laws.

The citizens had previously been awarded federal commendations, state and federal innovation grants, government R&D contracts and knew White House and Congressional executives personally. They know "where the bodies are buried".

Our Team and our peers fought back!

With the encouragement of members of Congress they used 100% legal tools to interdict the corruption.

Essentially; they helped the United States government sue itself!

First, with a unique new kind of pioneering federal lawsuit, victims established — FOR THE FIRST TIME IN LEGAL HISTORY — that political cronyism is a valid basis for a claim of arbitrary-and-capricious agency action under the Administrative Procedure Act. See: Federal Case One, (D.D.C. 2015).

Second, they prevailed in the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit on their appeal of the district court's ruling that an agency may escape judicial review of its action by requesting a voluntary remand but refusing to reconsider its initial denial of an application. See: Case Federal Two, (D.C. Cir. 2017). The Washington DC Circuit agreed with the victims that an agency may only seek a remand if it promises to reconsider its initial decision. It is because of that victory that the government, under court order is now re-doing the victims applications and GAO, FBI, IG's and Congressional oversight offices are watching to assure effective ethics and transparency.

Third, these cases placed, on permanent public record, one of the most detailed documentation sets, ever assembled, about how modern political "Dark Money" conduits operate. The legal team hired ex-FBI, CIA and SEC experts to track down covert bank accounts, revolving door bribes, insider stock trades and other payola between the victim's competitors and public officials. This documentation now prevents the use of those kinds of criminal efforts, in the future, by exposing their tactics to the public.

Fourth, the victim's team engaged in the interdiction and termination of corrupt agency executives, contractors and their financiers. This included some of the most well-known names in Washington, DC, at the time. Many of them were, and are still being, investigated and surveilled by the FBI, GAO, SEC and Congress.

Fifth, and most important, the effort put every corrupt political scheme on notice that they WILL be found out and interdicted!

The bottom line?

The victims group WON on every single aspect of their public-interest goals but the individual citizens have yet to be recompensed for their damages! They continue to fight for their Constitutional rights and the payment of their damages and benefits fro the government.

They have won over and over while the opposition keeps getting fired, arrested, investigated and exposed in national news documentaries!

Now the "bad guys" have less options to engage in the corruption of our Democracy!

***“You're Welcome, America!...”***

These co-workers witnessed politicians and Silicon Valley oligarchs (sometimes called "The Deep State") stealing money from both: 1.) Their company and 2.) the US Government Treasury and handing it to Elon Musk and their other insider friends. They reported it to the authorities.

The crime turned out to be part of one of the biggest embezzlement crimes ever exposed. Major political figures and tech "bosses" turned out to be running a "PayPal Tech Mafia". The bad guys then began hunting them down and

attacking them in reprisal "for the rest of our lives" per their threats and their ongoing retribution vendettas.

It was found that famous senators, their Silicon Valley oligarch financiers and their associates at the Department of Energy, run an organized crime insider trading scam that abuses taxpayers and sabotages competing businesses. The terminations of the heads of the FBI, The Department of Energy and other famous people in politics is because of their operation, and cover-ups, of this case. The cover-ups are still going on. The Wenstein, Epstein and related cases show, though, that cover-ups never last.

Now, over 300 million potential voters can "crowd-source" join the forensic efforts to expose, shame, dox, bankrupt, boycott and 100% legally exterminate the corrupt entities who did these illicit things by using our Democracy as their billionaire's plaything.

Hundreds of the perpetrators have already been fired, placed under permanent public surveillance, financially tracked through every asset, reported to federal agencies and targeted for investigation. The goal is to interdict every single person, company and political operative group who is engaging in these crimes using crowd-sourced investigation and intelligence tools.

This is a large part of all of that "political corruption" and "dirty Dark Money" politics you read about in the newspaper every day.

If you thought that Mossack Fonseca and the Panama Papers was "The Story": IT WAS ONLY THE BEGINNING!

*A key part of one of the testimony statements reads: "...SILICON VALLEY'S POLITICIANS MAKE POLICY THAT, BOTH, RUINS TAXPAYERS WHILE MAKING THE POLITICIANS RICH BY ARTIFICIALLY INFLATING THE VALUE OF THE POLITICIANS SECRET STOCK MARKET HOLDINGS. NOW WE ARE EXPOSING THEIR ENTIRE SCAM!*

*THIS IS ABOUT THE U.S. SENATORS AND THEIR CRONY DARK MONEY POLITICAL BRIBES AND CRIMINAL KICK-BACKS, THE TECH OLIGARCHS WHO DEPLOYED THE BRIBES AND THE VICTIMS OF THESE CRIMES.*

*IMAGINE LIVING IN A WORLD WHERE ALMOST EVERY ONE OF THE PUBLIC OFFICIALS THAT WERE SUPPOSED TO HELP YOU TURNED OUT TO BE YOUR BUSINESS COMPETITORS. IMAGINE HAVING THEM USE GOVERNMENT RESOURCES TO PROFIT AT YOUR EXPENSE, BLOCKADE YOU AND TREAT DEMOCRACY LIKE A GARAGE SALE! THIS IS THAT STORY!..."*

Google, Tesla, Facebook, LinkedIn and their VC's (and deeply bribed Senators) operated hit-jobs on the public and their competitors, supported by the Obama White House and U.S. Dept. of Energy.

This is about a group of tech oligarchs, and their corrupt Senators, who commit crimes in order to manipulate over a trillion tax dollars (YOUR MONEY) into their, and their friends pockets.

They are felons yet they control some of the offices of the agencies who are supposed to arrest them. Silicon Valley bought K Street and U.S. Senators, gave them more Dark Money than history has ever seen and then had giant tech-law firms bribe, hit-job and blockade any attempts to solve the problem.

Some of the largest bribes in American history were paid via billions of dollars of pre-IPO cleantech stock, insider trading, real estate, Google search engine rigging and shadow-banning, sex workers, revolving door jobs, nepotism,

state-supported black-listing of competitors and under-the-table cash. Why are these Silicon Valley Oligarchs and their K-Street law firms and lobbyists immune from the law?

**United States Department Of Energy Employees and Contractors were bribed with:**

- Billions of dollars of Google, Twitter, Facebook, Tesla, Netflix and Sony Pictures stock and stock warrants which is never reported to the FEC
  
- Billions of dollars of Google, Twitter, Facebook, Tesla, Netflix and Sony Pictures search engine rigging including shadow-banning, de-boosting, DNS re-routing, directed search suggestion, subliminal messaging bias, and hundreds of other psychological manipulation tricks; the value of which is never reported to the FEC but proven by invoices and bank payments between Google and Gawker, Gizmodo, DNC, Fusion GPS, Black Cube, etc.
  
- Free rent
  
- Prostitutes and Rent Boys
  
- Cars
  
- Dinners
  
- Party Financing
  
- Sports Event Tickets
  
- Campaign Services "Donations"
  
- Secret PAC Financing
  
- Jobs in Corporations in Silicon Valley For The Family Members of Those Who Take Bribes And Those Who Take Bribes, Themselves
  
- "Consulting" contracts from McKinsey as fronted pay-off gigs
  
- Overpriced "Speaking Engagements" which are really just pay-offs conducted for donors
  
- Private jet rides and use of Government fuel depots (ie: Google handed out NASA jet fuel to staff)
  
- Real Estate
  
- The use of Cayman, Boca Des Tores, Swiss and related laundering accounts
  
- The use of HSBC, Wells Fargo and Deutsche Bank money laundering accounts
  
- Free spam and bulk mailing services owned by corporations

- Use of high tech law firms such as Perkins Coie, Wilson Sonsini, MoFo, Covington & Burling, etc. to conduit bribes to officials

Investigators were able to get a law produced that made insider trading less attractive for Congress, nothing has been done to stop stock warrant bribes and revolving door payola. Additionally, even with the new law, 60% of the U.S. Congress (including their associates and families) STILL engage in insider trading because law enforcement has not prosecuted many of them.

This is about a group of U.S. Senators, Silicon Valley Oligarchs, Crooked Law Firms and Lobbyists who commit crimes in order to manipulate over a trillion tax dollars into their, and their friends pockets. They use media monopoly tricks to try to shut out any other viewpoints. They push pretend issues that they believe will get more tax money allocated to "issue solutions" that they, and their friends, happen to already own the monopolies for. They are felons yet they control some of the offices of the agencies who are supposed to arrest them. Silicon Valley bought K Street lobby firms and U.S. Senators, gave them more Dark Money than history has ever seen and then had giant tech-law firms bribe, hit-job and blockade any attempts to arrest them.

You can verify the facts yourself at these links:

**Associated FBI, SEC, FTC, GAO, And Congressional Ethics Committee Case Files Database Search Keywords For Their Criminal Case Files On This Case:** “Elon Musk”, “Solyndra”, “Rare Earth Mining Scam”, “Tesla Motors”, “Steven Chu”, “Afghan Mining”, “Jeffrey Epstein”, “Flashboy Aglorithms”, “Silicon Valley Anti-Trust”, “UraniumOne”, “The Silicon Valley No Poaching Lawsuit”, “AngelGate”, “frank guistra”, “Raj Gupta”, “Nicholas Guido Denton”, “Larry Page Tax Evasion”, “Fusion GPS” and other case file database search keywords to be provided...

**Links To Third-Party Evidence Files Proving Each And Every Assertion:**

<https://www.thecreepyline.com>

<https://www.icij.org>

<https://stopelonfromfailingagain.com>

<http://vcracket.weebly.com>

<https://www.transparency.org>

<https://www.judicialwatch.org>

<https://wikileaks.org>

<https://causeofaction.org>

<https://fusion4freedom.com/about-gcf/>

<http://peterschweizer.com/>

<http://globalinitiative.net>

<https://fusion4freedom.com/the-green-corruption-files-archive/>

<https://propublica.org>

<https://www.allsides.com/unbiased-balanced-news>

<http://wearethenewmedia.com>

[http://ec.europa.eu/anti\\_fraud/index\\_en.html](http://ec.europa.eu/anti_fraud/index_en.html)

<http://gopacnetwork.org/>

<http://www.iaaca.org/News/>

<http://www.interpol.int/Crime-areas/Corruption/Corruption>

<http://www.icac.nsw.gov.au/>

<http://www.traceinternational.org/>

<http://www.oge.gov/>

<https://ogc.commerce.gov/>

<https://anticorruptionact.org/>

<http://www.anticorruptionintl.org/>

<https://represent.us/>

[http://www.giacentre.org/dealing\\_with\\_corruption.php](http://www.giacentre.org/dealing_with_corruption.php)

<http://www.acfe.com/>

<https://www.oas.org/juridico/english/FightCur.html>

<https://www.opus.com/international-anti-corruption-day-businesses/>

<https://www.opengovpartnership.org/theme/anti-corruption>

<https://www.ethicalsystems.org/content/corruption>

<https://sunlightfoundation.com/>

<http://www.googletransparencyproject.org/>

<http://xyzcase.weebly.com>

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Angelgate>

<https://www.opensecrets.org/>

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/High-Tech\\_Employee\\_Antitrust\\_Litigation](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/High-Tech_Employee_Antitrust_Litigation)

<http://www.projectveritasaction.com>

***Catch and Kill*** By Ronan

*Farrow*, [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Catch\\_and\\_Kill:\\_Lies,\\_Spies,\\_and\\_a\\_Conspiracy\\_to\\_Protect\\_Predators](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Catch_and_Kill:_Lies,_Spies,_and_a_Conspiracy_to_Protect_Predators)

**Permanent Record** By Edward Snowden.

<https://www.amazon.com/Permanent-Record-Edward-Snowden/dp/1250237238>

**Brotopia** By Emily Chang. <http://brotopiabook.com/>

**Throw Them All Out** By Peter Schweizer. <http://peterschweizer.com/books/throw-them-all-out/>

**The Circle** By David Eggers. <https://archive.org/details/circle00dave>

**World Without Mind** By Franklin Foer.

<https://www.amazon.com/World-Without-Mind-Existential-Threat/dp/1101981113>

**A Journey into the Savage Heart of Silicon Valley** By Corey Pein. <https://www.goodreads.com/book/show/35684687-live-work-work-work-die>

**Disrupted** By Dan Lyons. <https://www.goodreads.com/book/show/26030703-disrupted>

**Chaos Monkeys** By Antonio García Martínez. <https://www.antonioarciamartinez.com/chaos-monkeys/>

**The Creepy Line** By Matthew Taylor. <https://www.thecreepyline.com/>

**The Cleantech Crash** By Leslie Stahl. <https://www.cbsnews.com/news/cleantech-crash-60-minutes/>

**Congress: Trading stock** By Steve Kroft. <https://www.cbsnews.com/news/congress-trading-stock-on-inside-information/>

Said one victim: "They had the U.S. Government hire us, paid us part of our money, then asked us to spend our life savings and years of our time on their federal project based on their lies and false-promises. Then they took the assets we were asked to invest, plus the money they owed us, and gave it to their friends. When we complained to the FBI, Congress and the SEC, they hired Fusion GPS-like companies to run "hit-jobs" on us and threaten our lives. WE WERE LIED TO AND DEFRAUDED BY GOVERNMENT AGENCIES. THEY TOOK OUR MONEY AND USED US, AND OUR PEERS, AS A SMOKE-SCREEN TO HIDE THEIR CRONY PAYOLA CRIME THAT PUT TAXPAYER CASH IN THEIR FRIEND'S POCKETS...We have received ZERO justice and ZERO compensation for our damages!"

There are no "conspiracy theories" here. These are all hard forensic facts that will stand-up in any court!

*"...They did this to anybody who they thought might expose the White House use of agencies as "slush-funds" and "Dark Money" campaign finance laundering conduits. They were afraid that exposure of these schemes would cause the President of the United States to be forced to resign in the middle of his term!..."*

Years of archived news videos provide evidence, by thousands of reporters and investigators, that the suspects are: A.) Based around Silicon Valley and Washington DC; B.) Operating as a RICO-violating cartel; C.) Deeply sociopath and sexually disturbed; D.) Money laundering via large law firms and investment banks; E.) Using Google, Reddit, Facebook, etc. as mass political behavior-manipulation programs; F.) Paying for and operating character assassination programs against those who defy them; G.) Using "green energy" as one of their facades to steer tax dollars to the companies that they, and their friends, already own and work for; H.) Willing to resort to the most extreme things to protect their scheme; I.) Living in an ideological "echo-chamber" in their tech bubbles; J.) Empowered entirely by the public's lack of willingness to boycott them and demand their arrests.

A vast number of individuals and companies, who are willing to testify about these crimes have NEVER BEEN ALLOWED into a Congressional hearing, court-room, FBI 302 interview reports, etc., because crooked Senators are terrified of the confirming testimony they can all provide.

Investigators placed autonomous monitoring applications on a vast number of co-location servers, shared hosting ISPs, stand-alone servers and sites around the world over ten years ago and monitored: 1.) Google search results compared to other search engines, 2.) Google DNS and spoofing activities, 3.) Google results on 100 key search terms including search terms of assets, candidates and business associates connected to Google, 4.) Where Google sends data from users clicking on Google supplied links, 5.) Where fabricated mole data that was injected as user data ultimately ended up later, and other metrics. The results prove that Google abuses the market, the public, politics and human rights.

Said another witness: *"...ELON MUSK BOYFRIENDS: LARRY PAGE, ERIC SCHMIDT, JARED COHEN AND SERGY BRIN AT GOOGLE AND MARK ZUCKERBERG AT FACEBOOK ORDER THEIR COMPANY STAFF TO HIDE, DOWN-RANK, HOLE-PUNCH THE NET, SHADOW-BAN, STOCK MARKET VALUATION MANIPULATE AND EXCLUDE THIS WEBSITE ON THE INTERNET. WE TRACK EVERY TECHNICAL TRICK THEY USE AND REPORT IT TO CONGRESS AND ANTI-TRUST AGENCIES. THE MORE THEY DO IT, THE MORE THEY CREATE EVIDENCE THAT WILL PUT THEM OUT OF BUSINESS!..."*

The Google empire controls most of the media on Earth, via many front corporations, and indoctrinates everyone in it's organization using 'cult' methodologies. Google owner's believe in "our-ideology-at-any-cost" and "the-ends-justify-the-means" scenarios. What could possibly go wrong?

Regarding The CleanTech Crash: Every single Dept of Energy executive, and related Senator, owns stock market assets in Tesla, Fisker, Solyndra, Ener1, etc. so they blockaded and sabotaged every applicant who competed with their holdings in a RICO-violating, felony organized crime, using taxpayer funds.

Many of those character assassinated, sabotaged, black-listed, poisoned and shadow-banned are still waiting for justice!

The Silicon Valley Mafia is The Sandhill Road Venture Capital frat boy company bosses in Palo Alto, their National Venture Capital Association (NVCA) partners and the tech companies (Google, Tesla, Facebook, Amazon, Twitter, LinkedIn, etc.) they control. They are sometimes referred to as The Deep State. They have purchased California, New York and Washington, DC politicians (mostly Senators) who they also control.

They hire rogue ex-intelligence agents to operate attacks via Fusion GPS, The Gawker/Gizmodo/Jalopnik/Univision Hatchet-Job Fake Tabloid Facade (ie: Obama had White House staff: Robert Gibbs and John Podesta hire them, in association with Obama financier Elon Musk, to attack XP Vehicles, Bright Automotive and ZAP Vehicles as retribution in violation of anti-trust laws), Black Cube, ShareBlue, New America, In-Q-Tel, Podesta Group, Media Matters, etc. . They spend over \$30M on each massive media attack program against competitors, reporters and outsiders.

They collude on black-lists, valuation controls, election manipulation, search engine rigging, domestic spying for political manipulation, stock rigging, insider trading, executive prostitute clubs, trophy wife assignments, the bribery of politicians and worse. They are felons who pay politicians to halt investigations and interdiction efforts. They are widely covered in news media articles as: sex abusers, cult enthusiasts, elitists, rapists, woman beaters, sexual work extortion operators, extremists, arrogant clones of each other, tone deaf, echo-chamber reinforcing, misogynist, racist, manipulative, insecure, covertly gay, corrupt, thieves' and other anti-social revelations.

The divorce and sex abuse court filings against the #PaloAltoMafia men of Silicon Valley are some of the most disturbing and sexually twisted court records you will ever read and they demonstrate a clear and decades-long pattern of collusion and depravity. From Google's "Sex Slaves" to "Sex Penthouses" to "Deaths by Prostitute"; the list is endless.

They are not limited to California and also operate out of New York and Washington DC. They use their monopolistic control of the internet to massively and exclusively scale services that only they control and use to abuse public privacy, human rights, invention rights and information. They run their cartel like the old Italian Mafia once did. Silicon Valley's Corrupt Palo Alto Mafia Network "Scaled Monopolies"

**Dr. ROBERT EPSTEIN** describes how Defendant and political financier Google (In-Q-Tel's business partner) rigs elections to try to maintain Google's monopoly and to help Department of Energy insiders from McKinsey Consulting, Perkins Coie, Deloitte and W2 and 1099 employees inside DOE who work with Google on these attacks.

Authorities in the UK have finally figured out that fake news stories and Russian-placed ads are not the real problem. The UK Parliament is about to impose stiff penalties—not on the people who place the ads or write the stories, but on the Big Tech platforms that determine which ads and stories people actually see.

Parliament's plans will almost surely be energized by the latest leak of damning material from inside Google's fortress of secrecy: The Wall Street Journal recently reported on emails exchanged among Google employees in January 2017 in which they strategized about how to alter Google search results and other "ephemeral experiences" to counter President Donald Trump's newly imposed travel ban. The company claims that none of these plans was ever implemented, but who knows?

While U.S. authorities have merely held hearings, EU authorities have taken dramatic steps in recent years to limit the powers of Big Tech, most recently with a comprehensive law that protects user privacy—the General Data Protection Regulation—and a whopping \$5.1 billion fine against Google for monopolistic practices in the mobile device market. Last year, the European Union also levied a \$2.7 billion fine against Google for filtering and ordering search results in a way that favored their own products and services. That filtering and ordering, it turns out, is of crucial importance.

As years of research I've been conducting on online influence has shown, content per se is not the real threat these days; what really matters is (a) which content is selected for users to see, and (b) the way that content is ordered in search results, search suggestions, news feeds, message feeds, comment lists, and so on. That's where the power lies to shift opinions, purchases, and votes, and that power is held by a disturbingly small group of people.

I say “these days” because the explosive growth of a handful of massive platforms on the internet—the largest, by far, being Google and the next largest being Facebook—has changed everything. Millions of people and organizations are constantly trying to get their content in front of our eyes, but for more than 2.5 billion people around the world—soon to be more than 4 billion—the responsibility for what algorithms do should always lie with the people who wrote the algorithms and the companies that deployed them.

In randomized, controlled, peer-reviewed research I’ve conducted with thousands of people, I’ve shown repeatedly that when people are undecided, I can shift their opinions on just about any topic just by changing how I filter and order the information I show them. I’ve also shown that when, in multiple searches, I show people more and more information that favors one candidate, I can shift opinions even farther. Even more disturbing, I can do these things in ways that are completely invisible to people and in ways that don’t leave paper trails for authorities to trace.

Worse still, these new forms of influence often rely on ephemeral content—information that is generated on the fly by an algorithm and then disappears forever, which means that it would be difficult, if not impossible, for authorities to reconstruct. If, on Election Day this coming November, Mark Zuckerberg decides to broadcast go-out-and-vote reminders mainly to members of one political party, how would we be able to detect such a manipulation? If we can’t detect it, how would we be able to reduce its impact? And how, days or weeks later, would we be able to turn back the clock to see what happened?

Of course, companies like Google and Facebook emphatically reject the idea that their search and newsfeed algorithms are being tweaked in ways that could meddle in elections. Doing so would undermine the public’s trust in their companies, spokespeople have said. They insist that their algorithms are complicated, constantly changing, and subject to the “organic” activity of users.

This is, of course, sheer nonsense. Google can adjust its algorithms to favor any candidate it chooses no matter what the activity of users might be, just as easily as I do in my experiments. As legal scholar Frank Pasquale noted in his recent book “The Black Box Society,” blaming algorithms just doesn’t cut it; the responsibility for what an algorithm does should always lie with the people who wrote the algorithm and the companies that deployed the algorithm. Alan Murray, president of Fortune, recently framed the issue this way: “Rule one in the Age of AI: Humans remain accountable for decisions, even when made by machines.”

Given that 95 percent of donations from Silicon Valley generally go to Democrats, it’s hard to imagine that the algorithms of companies like Facebook and Google don’t favor their favorite candidates. A newly leaked video of a 2016 meeting at Google shows without doubt that high-ranking Google executives share a strong political preference, which could easily be expressed in algorithms. The favoritism might be deliberately programmed or occur simply because of unconscious bias. Either way, votes and opinions shift.

It’s also hard to imagine how, in any election in the world, with or without intention on the part of company employees, Google search results would fail to tilt toward one candidate. Google’s search algorithm certainly has no equal-time rule built into it; we wouldn’t want it to! We want it to tell us what’s best, and the algorithm will indeed always favor one dog food over another, one music service over another, and one political candidate over another. When the latter happens ... votes and opinions shift.

Here are 10 ways—seven of which I am actively studying and quantifying—that Big Tech companies could use to shift millions of votes this coming November with no one the wiser. Let’s hope, of course, that these methods are not being used and will never be used, but let’s be realistic too; there’s generally no limit to what people will do when money and

power are on the line.

### **1. Search Engine Manipulation Effect (SEME)**

Ongoing research I began in January 2013 has shown repeatedly that when one candidate is favored over another in search results, voting preferences among undecided voters shift dramatically—by 20 percent or more overall, and by up to 80 percent in some demographic groups. This is partly because people place inordinate trust in algorithmically generated output, thinking, mistakenly, that algorithms are inherently objective and impartial.

But my research also suggests that we are conditioned to believe in high-ranking search results in much the same way that rats are conditioned to press levers in Skinner boxes. Because most searches are for simple facts (“When was Donald Trump born?”), and because correct answers to simple questions inevitably turn up in the first position, we are taught, day after day, that the higher a search result appears in the list, the more true it must be. When we finally search for information to help us make a tough decision (“Who’s better for the economy, Trump or Clinton?”), we tend to believe the information on the web pages to which high-ranking search results link.

As The Washington Post reported last year, in 2016, I led a team that developed a system for monitoring the election-related search results Google, Bing, and Yahoo were showing users in the months leading up to the presidential election, and I found pro-Clinton bias in all 10 search positions on the first page of Google’s search results. Google responded, as usual, that it has “never re-ranked search results on any topic (including elections) to manipulate political sentiment”—but I never claimed it did. I found what I found, namely that Google’s search results favored Hillary Clinton; “re-ranking”—an obtuse term Google seems to have invented to confuse people—is irrelevant.

Because (a) many elections are very close, (b) 90 percent of online searches in most countries are conducted on just one search engine (Google), and (c) internet penetration is high in most countries these days—higher in many countries than it is in the United States—it is possible that the outcomes of up to 25 percent of the world’s national elections are now being determined by Google’s search algorithm, even without deliberate manipulation on the part of company employees. Because, as I noted earlier, Google’s search algorithm is not constrained by equal-time rules, it almost certainly ends up favoring one candidate over another in most political races, and that shifts opinions and votes.

### **2. Search Suggestion Effect (SSE)**

When Google first introduced autocomplete search suggestions—those short lists you see when you start to type an item into the Google search bar—it was supposedly meant to save you some time. Whatever the original rationale, those suggestions soon turned into a powerful means of manipulation that Google appears to use aggressively.

My recent research suggests that (a) Google starts to manipulate your opinions from the very first character you type, and (b) by fiddling with the suggestions it shows you, Google can turn a 50–50 split among undecided voters into a 90–10 split with no one knowing. I call this manipulation the Search Suggestion Effect (SSE), and it is one of the most powerful behavioral manipulations I have ever seen in my nearly 40 years as a behavioral scientist.

How will you know whether Google is messing with your election-related search suggestions in the weeks leading up to the election? You won’t.

### **3. The Targeted Messaging Effect (TME)**

If, on Nov. 8, 2016, Mr. Zuckerberg had sent go-out-and-vote reminders just to supporters of Mrs. Clinton, that would likely have given her an additional 450,000 votes. I’ve extrapolated that number from Facebook’s own published data.

Because Zuckerberg was overconfident in 2016, I don’t believe he sent those messages, but he is surely not

overconfident this time around. In fact, it's possible that, at this very moment, Facebook and other companies are sending out targeted register-to-vote reminders, as well as targeted go-out-and-vote reminders in primary races. Targeted go-out-and-vote reminders might also favor one party on Election Day in November.

My associates and I are building systems to monitor such things, but because no systems are currently in place, there is no sure way to tell whether Twitter, Google, and Facebook (or Facebook's influential offshoot, Instagram) are currently tilting their messaging. No law or regulation specifically forbids the practice, and it would be an easy and economical way to serve company needs. Campaign donations cost money, after all, but tilting your messaging to favor one candidate is free.

#### **4. Opinion Matching Effect (OME)**

In March 2016, and continuing for more than seven months until Election Day, Tinder's tens of millions of users could not only swipe to find sex partners, they could also swipe to find out whether they should vote for Trump or Clinton. The website iSideWith.com—founded and run by “two friends” with no obvious qualifications—claims to have helped more than 49 million people match their opinions to the right candidate. Both CNN and USA Today have run similar services, currently inactive.

I am still studying and quantifying this type of, um, helpful service, but so far it looks like (a) opinion matching services tend to attract undecided voters—precisely the kinds of voters who are most vulnerable to manipulation, and (b) they can easily produce opinion shifts of 30 percent or more without people's awareness.

At this writing, iSideWith is already helping people decide who they should vote for in the 2018 New York U.S. Senate race, the 2018 New York gubernatorial race, the 2018 race for New York District 10 of the U.S. House of Representatives, and, believe it or not, the 2020 presidential race. Keep your eyes open for other matching services as they turn up, and ask yourself this: Who wrote those algorithms, and how can we know whether they are biased toward one candidate or party?

#### **5. Answer Bot Effect (ABE)**

More and more these days, people don't want lists of thousands of search results, they just want the answer, which is being supplied by personal assistants like Google Home devices, the Google Assistant on Android devices, Amazon's Alexa, Apple's Siri, and Google's featured snippets—those answer boxes at the top of Google search results. I call the opinion shift produced by such mechanisms the Answer Bot Effect (ABE).

My research on Google's answer boxes shows three things so far: First, they reduce the time people spend searching for more information. Second, they reduce the number of times people click on search results. And third, they appear to shift opinions 10 to 30 percent more than search results alone do. I don't yet know exactly how many votes can be shifted by answer bots, but in a national election in the United States, the number might be in the low millions.

#### **6. Shadowbanning**

Recently, Trump complained that Twitter was preventing conservatives from reaching many of their followers on that platform through shadowbanning, the practice of quietly hiding a user's posts without the user knowing. The validity of Trump's specific accusation is arguable, but the fact remains that any platform on which people have followers or friends can be rigged in a way to suppress the views and influence of certain individuals without people knowing the suppression is taking place. Unfortunately, without aggressive monitoring systems in place, it's hard to know for sure when or even whether shadowbanning is occurring.

#### **7. Programmed Virality and the Digital Bandwagon Effect**

Big Tech companies would like us to believe that virality on platforms like YouTube or Instagram is a profoundly mysterious phenomenon, even while acknowledging that their platforms are populated by tens of millions of fake accounts that might affect virality.

In fact, there is an obvious situation in which virality is not mysterious at all, and that is when the tech companies themselves decide to shift high volumes of traffic in ways that suit their needs. And aren't they always doing this? Because Facebook's algorithms are secret, if an executive decided to bestow instant Instagram stardom on a pro-Elizabeth Warren college student, we would have no way of knowing that this was a deliberate act and no way of countering it.

The same can be said of the virality of YouTube videos and Twitter campaigns; they are inherently competitive—except when company employees or executives decide otherwise. Google has an especially powerful and subtle way of creating instant virality using a technique I've dubbed the Digital Bandwagon Effect. Because the popularity of websites drives them higher in search results, and because high-ranking search results increase the popularity of websites (SEME), Google has the ability to engineer a sudden explosion of interest in a candidate or cause with no one—perhaps even people at the companies themselves—having the slightest idea they've done so. In 2015, I published a mathematical model showing how neatly this can work.

### **8. The Facebook Effect**

Because Facebook's ineptness and dishonesty have squeezed it into a digital doghouse from which it might never emerge, it gets its own precinct on my list.

In 2016, I published an article detailing five ways that Facebook could shift millions of votes without people knowing: biasing its trending box, biasing its center newsfeed, encouraging people to look for election-related material in its search bar (which it did that year!), sending out targeted register-to-vote reminders, and sending out targeted go-out-and-vote reminders.

I wrote that article before the news stories broke about Facebook's improper sharing of user data with multiple researchers and companies, not to mention the stories about how the company permitted fake news stories to proliferate on its platform during the critical days just before the November election—problems the company is now trying hard to mitigate. With the revelations mounting, on July 26, 2018, Facebook suffered the largest one-day drop in stock value of any company in history, and now it's facing a shareholder lawsuit and multiple fines and investigations in both the United States and the EU.

Facebook desperately needs new direction, which is why I recently called for Zuckerberg's resignation. The company, in my view, could benefit from the new perspectives that often come with new leadership.

### **9. Censorship**

I am cheating here by labeling one category "censorship," because censorship—the selective and biased suppression of information—can be perpetrated in so many different ways.

Shadowbanning could be considered a type of censorship, for example, and in 2016, a Facebook whistleblower claimed he had been on a company team that was systematically removing conservative news stories from Facebook's newsfeed. Now, because of Facebook's carelessness with user data, the company is openly taking pride in rapidly shutting down accounts that appear to be Russia-connected—even though company representatives sometimes acknowledge that they "don't have all the facts."

Meanwhile, Zuckerberg has crowed about his magnanimity in preserving the accounts of people who deny the Holocaust, never mentioning the fact that provocative content propels traffic that might make him richer. How would you know whether Facebook was selectively suppressing material that favored one candidate or political party? You wouldn't. (For a detailed look at nine ways Google censors content, see my essay "The New Censorship," published in 2016.)

### **10. The Digital Customization Effect (DCE)**

Any marketer can tell you how important it is to know your customer. Now, think about that simple idea in a world in which Google has likely collected the equivalent of millions of Word pages of information about you. If you randomly display a banner ad on a web page, out of 10,000 people, only five are likely to click on it; that's the CTR—the "clickthrough rate" (0.05 percent). But if you target your ad, displaying it only to people whose interests it matches, you can boost your CTR a hundredfold.

That's why Google, Facebook, and others have become increasingly obsessed with customizing the information they show you: They want you to be happily and mindlessly clicking away on the content they show you.

In the research I conduct, my impact is always larger when I am able to customize information to suit people's backgrounds. Because I know very little about the participants in my experiments, however, I am able to do so in only feeble ways, but the tech giants know everything about you—even things you don't know about yourself. This tells me that the effect sizes I find in my experiments are probably too low. The impact that companies like Google are having on our lives is quite possibly much larger than I think it is. Perhaps that doesn't scare you, but it sure scares me.

#### The Same Direction

OK, you say, so much for Epstein's list! What about those other shenanigans we've heard about: voter fraud (Trump's explanation for why he lost the popular vote), gerrymandering, rigged voting machines, targeted ads placed by Cambridge Analytica, votes cast over the internet, or, as I mentioned earlier, those millions of bots designed to shift opinions. What about hackers like Andrés Sepúlveda, who spent nearly a decade using computer technology to rig elections in Latin America? What about all the ways new technologies make dirty tricks easier in elections? And what about those darn Russians, anyway?

To all that I say: kid stuff. Dirty tricks have been around since the first election was held millennia ago. But unlike the new manipulative tools controlled by Google and Facebook, the old tricks are competitive—it's your hacker versus my hacker, your bots versus my bots, your fake news stories versus my fake news stories—and sometimes illegal, which is why Sepúlveda's efforts failed many times and why Cambridge Analytica is dust.

"Cyberwar," a new book by political scientist Kathleen Hall Jamieson, reminds us that targeted ads and fake news stories can indeed shift votes, but the numbers are necessarily small. It's hard to overwhelm your competitor when he or she can play the same games you are playing.

Now, take a look at my numbered list. The techniques I've described can shift millions of votes without people's awareness, and because they are controlled by the platforms themselves, they are entirely noncompetitive. If Google or Facebook or Twitter wants to shift votes, there is no way to counteract their manipulations. In fact, at this writing, there is not even a credible way of detecting those manipulations.

And what if the tech giants are all leaning in the same political direction? What if the combined weight of their subtle and untraceable manipulative power favors one political party? If 150 million people vote this November in the United

States, with 20 percent still undecided at this writing (that's 30 million people), I estimate that the combined weight of Big Tech manipulations could easily shift upwards of 12 million votes without anyone knowing. That's enough votes to determine the outcomes of hundreds of close local, state, and congressional races throughout the country, which makes the free-and-fair election little more than an illusion.

Full disclosure: I happen to think that the political party currently in favor in Silicon Valley is, by a hair (so to speak), the superior party at the moment. But I also love America and democracy, and I believe that the free-and-fair election is the bedrock of our political system. I don't care how "right" these companies might be; lofty ends do not justify shady means, especially when those means are difficult to see and not well understood by either authorities or the public.

Can new regulations or laws save us from the extraordinary powers of manipulation the Big Tech companies now possess? Maybe, but our leaders seem to be especially regulation-shy these days, and I doubt, in any case, whether laws and regulations will ever be able to keep up with the new kinds of threats that new technologies will almost certainly pose in coming years.

I don't believe we are completely helpless, however. I think that one way to turn Facebook, Google, and the innovative technology companies that will succeed them, into responsible citizens is to set upsophisticated monitoring systems that detect, analyze, and archive what they're showing people—in effect, to fight technology with technology.

As I mentioned earlier, in 2016, I led a team that monitored search results on multiple search engines. That was a start, but we can do much better. These days, I'm working with business associates and academic colleagues on three continents to scale up systems to monitor a wide range of information the Big Tech companies are sharing with their users—even the spoken answers provided by personal assistants. Ultimately, a worldwide ecology of passive monitoring systems will make these companies accountable to the public, with information bias and online manipulation detectable in real time.

With November drawing near, there is obviously some urgency here. At this writing, it's not clear whether we will be fully operational in time to monitor the midterm elections, but we're determined to be ready for 2020.

Dr. Robert Epstein is a senior research psychologist at the American Institute for Behavioral Research and Technology in California. Associates of either Google or the Department of Energy are thought to have recently killed his wife because Epstein is a Congressional whistle-blower in this matter.

We have made certain that *over 100* State, Federal and EU investigations got launched against White House insider: GOOGLE. They have been launched. Google will suffer massive exposure of it's role in all this. Google ordered Steven Chu to do what they told them. DOE will regret those actions!

The citizens demanded an FTC task force and they got one launched: The Federal Trade Commission will be launching a task force to monitor competition in the US's technology markets, FTC commissioners announced.

The task force will include current officials working in the agency's Bureau of Competition in order to "enhance the Bureau's focus on technology-related sectors of the economy, including markets in which online platforms compete." It will also include 17 staff attorneys who will be tasked with investigating anti-competitive behavior in the tech industry.

"The role of technology in the economy and in our lives grows more important every day," FTC Chairman Joe Simons said. "As I've noted in the past, it makes sense for us to closely examine technology markets to ensure consumers benefit from free and fair competition."

“Technology markets ... raise distinct challenges for antitrust enforcement”

The new task force comes amid growing pressure for antitrust action against large tech companies like Facebook and Google. Earlier this month, it was reported that FTC officials have been looking to levy a multibillion-dollar fine on Facebook for repeatedly violating a privacy agreement the two bodies came to back in 2011. A coalition of advocacy groups argued that a fine would not be enough to incentivize Facebook to be more cautious with consumer data and asked the FTC to force the company spinoffs, Instagram and WhatsApp, back into their own entities once again. The groups argued that Facebook was too big for it to adequately care for user data for all three major apps.

Discussion over retroactive merger reviews that may result in companies divesting previously approved assets has been heating up over the last few months. The Democratic-led House Judiciary Committee has been reportedly beefing up its antitrust arm and hiring on big names like Lina Khan in the academic sphere.

“Technology markets, which are rapidly evolving and touch so many other sectors of the economy, raise distinct challenges for antitrust enforcement,” said Bureau Director Bruce Hoffman. “By centralizing our expertise and attention, the new task force will be able to focus on these markets exclusively – ensuring they are operating pursuant to the antitrust laws, and taking action where they are not.”

Hoffman confirmed that the task force would look into consummated mergers, but could not name any investigations specifically. When it comes to remedies for problematic mergers, Hoffman said that firms could be “broken out,” or could be forced to “spin off” previous acquisitions as new competitors in order to recreate the markets pre-merger.

Hoffman said that the task force would be working closely with the FTC’s Consumer Protection Bureau as it relates to consumer privacy enforcement especially in cases in which these issues coalesce.

“Our ongoing Hearings on Competition and Consumer Protection in the 21st Century are a crucial step to deepen our understanding of these markets and potential competitive issues. The Technology Task Force is the next step in that effort,” Simons said in the press release.

The Justice Department, which also has antitrust jurisdiction, is aware of the FTC’s new task force, according to Hoffman, and both agencies will continue to work separately on this front.

An alliance of investigators, forensics experts, EU prosecution offices, FBI specialists, journalists, voters and public crowd-sourced volunteers have been campaigning for the arrest, prosecution, exposure and termination of each and every company, group and individual who engaged in these crimes and reprisal attacks on those who reported them.

It has already cost the oligarchs their power and their cash, ie: <https://www.usnews.com/news/world/articles/2019-02-27/billionaire-list-shows-1t-hit-from-18-market-meltdown>

**"WINNING"**, in this case, means punching the bad guys in the legal nose and teaching every other citizen how to do it too! We have done that and we will piggy-back onto the 2020 election resources (the largest digital system ever deployed in the history of elections) to do it even bigger if this is not settled *now*. **Name the dollar amount you are offering to settle this!**

After, originally, being financed by DOE, Scott wrote your office previously after he received a letter simply stating that one of his many funding applications under the Advanced Technology Vehicles Manufacturing (ATVM) Program had been rejected. No reasons were given in the letter and only after several attempts at phoning the ATVM office were we able to receive the reasons orally (we still have not received rational reasons in writing). He would understand a

rejection if the reasons given were applicable to his loan application. However, they were not and some comments did not even reflect what was included in the submission.

Shortly thereafter, our associates discovered that **the entire ATVM and LGP program was a criminal slush-fund scam hard-wired to pay off political campaign financiers and harm their competitors and that every reviewer was a business competitor and/or sonal ad**

Scott has not sued you personally yet. His associates have.

After several more attempts at receiving more clarification from the ATVM office, no one had been in further contact because DOE had ordered a cover-up. He has still been requesting additional information about the loan application and more applicable reasons.

One of his cars goes an almost unlimited range via hot-swap cartridges, costs less than \$20,000, uses no gasoline, is easy to repair and build, saves your life better than any other car, is faster than competing solutions, does not require an extension cord, uses electricity and creates green jobs. Had thousands of customers lined up who wanted to buy the unique and very “green” car. He had no debt and DOE had defrauded him into contributing cash, time and resources for many years based on positive feedback received repeatedly by DOE loan reviewers and staff.

One of the reasons given by DOE for not liking his 100% electric car was that the car “did not use enough E85 gasoline”. DOE should be informed that ALL electric cars use NO gasoline which he thought is a goal our country should want to attain.

Another reason DOE gave was that it wanted him to making millions of cars from the onset. His marketing plan did not support that nor did the funding levels he requested and everyone outside of DOE knows that every car company that tries that from the outset has failed. IN FACT every car company that DOE funded to do that DID fail EXACTLY as Scott predicted in writing in 2006.

His products had been proven by DOE, the news media and Congress, to have beaten the metrics of every competing Application, especially Obama campaign financing conduit Tesla.

DOE also stated that he was not planning to sell cars to the government which is 100% false. It was clearly stated in his application that the core sales plan of the company is based on government fleet sales. He cannot help but wonder if DOE even read the application. DOE staff made up answers and assumptions in order to protect Tesla, who DOE staff owned the stock in! That sounds like a felony!

DOE asserted his factory cost estimates were too low because the metal body fabrication systems were not calculated high enough yet he did not use metal in the bodies.

He submitted the following questions to the DOE ATVM over and over starting the day after he received the first rejection and he still has not received any response:

1. *DOE reviewers never even talked to the me: the founder and inventor, or our engineers, or our project leads or primary contractors. We even were told over and over again that everything in our application was good and that no additional information was needed. This is despite the fact that the reasons given for our rejection did not reflect the technology being used and therefore the ATVM reviewers did not understand our concept and product. Why was I never contacted?*
2. *After nearly a year of waiting, accompanied by writing, verbal and in-person proclamations that “everything was fine”, “everything is on-track”, “you appear to meet every criteria”, etc. and after I expended the majority of my personal funds and life savings based on these positive assertions, the application was suddenly*

*and mysteriously rejected. Why was staff at DOE during the course of the year, positive about the outcome and never asked for additional information?*

3. *At the start of the application process I was told that the review would be very interactive but there was almost no interaction with us while larger players like Tesla and Fisker, who applied later, were reviewed earlier, had extensive interaction and hand-holding and had already been awarded their funds. Why was the interactivity process never used with us?*
4. *Rejection comments supplied by Chris Foster of DOE and third party press seem to be unrelated to the business of the company and have no foundation in fact. Why is that?*
5. *One of the main reasons DOE gave for not liking our ideas was the fact that our vehicles do not use E85 gasoline. Our car and powerplants were electric!!!!Why did Tesla & Nissan get approved funding? Their vehicles also do not use E85.*
6. *While it is true that we do not wish to use carcinogenic gasoline, (Here in California we have a sticker on every gas pump that warns that filling your tank is likely to expose you to cancer), at no point was E85 gasoline ever mentioned, discussed, commented on or requested. In fact the topic was particularly avoided by DOE staff. Why?*
7. *Another rejection point was that we were not planning to make enough cars. This is false. The company would like to build and sell more cars than any other car company. We are fully willing to produce millions of vehicles if provided with the appropriate funding as it has quantified millions of fleet buyers for its vehicles. No DOE entity ever asked us to adjust, discuss or amend our numbers and we were more than willing to adjust those numbers if anyone had even bothered to ask. One must start out with small steps and were planned to ramp up to a massive number over time. To suggest that one do otherwise would demonstrate questionable judgment. What is the validity of this comment by the reviewers based on?*
8. *We provided \$100 million+ of asset collateral opportunity for only a \$40M loan. To repeat, we provided over TWICE the collateral of the value of the loan. How is this not as secure of a structure as any of the other applicants?*
9. *We were told that we were rejected because we were not planning to sell cars to the government. **This is 100% false.** The core sales plan of the company is based on government and commercial fleet sales. Why did your reviewers say this? Why did you think this?*
10. *Additionally we were told that electric motors and batteries were considered by the reviewers to be too futuristic of a technology and not developed for commercial use even though they have been in use in over 40 industries for over 20 years, including by NASA. What is the rationale for this argument?*
11. *Almost every other part was to be purchased from existing commercial sources with multiple points of supply in the USA, so it is not possible to see how a reviewer might think the vehicle had any significant technical acquisition hurdles. Why does DOE assume that the following companies with whom we would be contracting could not perform the following responsibilities:*
  - a. *Deloitte & Touche to provide auditing and reporting of financial data.*
  - b. *Autodesk or Microsoft to deliver the process and design software.*
  - c. *NEC, Intel or the other leading electronics companies in the world to build our controllers.*

- d. *Roush Automotive, one of the most successful automobile electronics groups in the world, to build the electronic module.*
  - e. *US National Lab system to solder a box together.*
  - f. *Over 100 other major supplier companies that have been building parts for the auto, aerospace and industry for decades to deliver the component parts for our vehicles.*
12. *The primary purpose of this loan program, I was told by its authors, was to develop advanced technology and further reduce our dependence on gasoline. The technology uses no gasoline and gets over 125 miles per battery charge. How is this not a direct conflict with the precepts of the Section 136 law?*
  13. *I was also told that its factory cost was too low because the metal body fabrication systems were not calculated high enough but the reviewers apparently did not even pay attention to the fact that the technology uses no metal fabrication in its body. What was the rationale in making such an erroneous comment?*
  14. *Reviewers also stated that the car was a "hydrogen car" which it is not. It is an electric car. Why did they say that?*
  15. *In what ways were the following documents actually reviewed? Your office stated that they "lost our documents" twice. Why?*

*Documents I submitted to DOE over and over after they claimed they were "lost":*

- *Detailed financials that cost the company almost \$200,000.00 to prepare;*
- *Metrics that demonstrated that the technology can save millions of lives per year and that it was safer than any vehicle;*
- *Metrics that demonstrate that a gasoline/hybrid vehicle is dangerously carcinogenic when filled at a gas station compared to competitors;*
- *Engineering and IP metrics that beat every competitor on price, range, safety, TOC, efficiency, toxic safety and hundreds of other points;*
- *Examples of work from \$3M of cash and person-hours previously invested by founders, DOE & partners;*
- *Lists of top auto and aerospace corporate partners, staff and resources, on stand-by, equaling thousands of people in all groups combined;*
- *Validation of a deep team of core staff that have been developing the project and parts of the project for 3-15 years part time;*
- *Samples of extensive international positive press coverage;*
- *Proof of a market opening timed with tax and national imperative incentives that created a dramatic window for success;*
- *Proof that our technology was the lowest overhead car company in the market which equates to the best chance to profit and return funds;*
- *Samples of an in-house created online process management architecture; market and marketing studies;*
- *CAD designs, engineering plans and manufacturing plans;*
- *A detailed website;*
- *A detailed path to \$1.5B within 5 years or less from a less than \$100M investment;*
- *Examples of dozens of prototypes as seen in the photographs on the BUILDS page of our website;*
- *Numerous patents;*
- *Large pending portfolio with third party valuation and validation reports valuing IP at over \$100M;*
- *People: Senior Scientists, Chemists & Engineers from Top University & Federal Labs, including staff that has built and delivered millions of vehicles to the consumer market;*
- *Partners: Federal, University, Fortune 500, Private Research Organizations;*

- Written Customer inquiries from a massive national customer base of qualified retail leads and 1.2M of commercial unit opportunities equaling a \$1.5B+ opportunity. Also submitted an extensive package of letters from each customer candidate;
- Contracts: Federal Contract fully executed and MOU's executed;
- Awards/Commendations: Congress, DARPA;
- Research Data: Over 200+ technical research documents & 15+ years of research;
- Know How: Over 22,000+ man hours of development;
- Market data;
- Over 100+ documents of industry study;
- Issued trademarks;
- Facilities;
- Unique access to Federal Labs & leased facility options;
- and other supporting materials."

There are hundreds of other stone-walling, corruption, obfuscation, "Lois Lerner"-like, political reprisal examples we can reference but everyone knows what happened here: CRIME!

DOE must stand-up and pay the damages!

**Witness testimony:** "...My investigators and law enforcement peers have yet to find any past reviewers of this case who were not hand-picked by our business adversaries. In other words, we would like the Court to provide any evidence that our case has been fairly reviewed in the past. My FBI-class associates have not found a single entity in our case reviews or determinations who was not either: financed by, friends, with, sleeping with, dating the staff of, holding stock market assets in, promised a revolving door job or government service contracts from, partying with, personal friends with, photographed at private events with, exchanging emails with, business associates of or directed by; one of those business adversaries, or the Senators and Department of Energy politicians that those business adversaries pay campaign finances to, or supply political digital services to. From 2008 forward, The White House and The Department Of Energy were controlled by the Silicon Valley tech oligarchs! That is a violation of the law, the Constitution and the American Way..."

Although we know you are extremely busy, we would ask for the opportunity to talk with you in person to discuss a settlement. We are free to discuss this at your earliest convenience and ask that you please consider this request to finally settle this dispute.

Previously, we told you: *We Are Coming!*

Now, we are telling you: *We Are Here!*

Our biggest question for you: ***When will you provide Scott with his justice and fair compensation?***

You Must Pay Scott for his damages or you WILL suffer the consequences in even bigger, mind-numbing, 100% legally approved media and transparency projects for 2020! You defrauded him to cover up a political slush-fund and crony insider stock market scam. That was illegal and a shame!

Sincerely,

The Board Of Directors  
Victims Alliance Of The Department Of Energy Cleantech Crash Slush Fund

Collaboratively authored and edited using the Wikipedia process

CC: AG, FBI, White House, OSC, FTC, SEC, U.S. Congress, Press

Attached: SECURITY NOTICE PDF