

When companies break the law and people pay: The scary lesson of the Google Bus

All over America, big corporations like Google flout laws or even make their own, while ordinary people face harsh penalties

[Julia Carrie Wong](#) For SALON

Topics: [google bus](#), [Inequality](#), [corporations](#), [San Francisco](#), [Silicon Valley](#), [Technology News](#), [News](#)

Google headquarters in Mountain View, Calif. (Credit: AP/Paul Sakuma)

Ever since Rebecca Solnit took to the [London Review of Books](#) to ruminate on the meaning of the private chartered buses that transport tech industry workers around the San Francisco Bay Area (she called them, among other things, “the spaceships on which our alien overlords have landed to rule us,”) the Google Bus has become the go-to symbol for discord in Silicon Valley. First a [Google Bus piñata](#) was smashed to pieces at a rally in San Francisco’s Mission district last May. Then protesters drove a [fake Google Bus](#) in the annual Pride Parade with props linking the shuttles to gentrification, eviction and displacement. By December, when activists blockaded an actual Google bus on the street, the city and media were primed for the [street theater](#)

[stunt heard round the world](#). This frenzy seemingly culminated yesterday when, following [another morning blockade and protest](#) and several hours of contentious public comment, San Francisco's Municipal Transportation Authority unanimously [approved a plan](#) to begin regulating the shuttles by requiring them to obtain a permit and pay a \$1 per stop fee.

The Google Bus (I use the term, as most Bay Area residents do, to refer generally to private buses chartered by employers, including Facebook, Genentech, Apple, Yahoo and others) means something different to everyone. For tech companies, it is a recruitment tool, a means of burnishing their environmental bona fides, and a way to extend the work day by several otherwise unproductive hours. Shuttle riders, [perhaps prompted by corporate talking points](#), suggest that without the buses they would just drive to work, adding more cars to the streets. The shuttle companies act like they are running a jobs program. (Michael Watson, a V.P. with [Bauer's Intelligent Transportation](#), said his company employs about 400 people. He would not disclose how much the bus drivers are paid, but he did state that they are not unionized.) Housing activists point out that the buses serve people who are driving up rents, displacing lower-income residents. Pedestrians and bikers complain of the buses clogging narrow streets. Riders of Muni (the city's bus system) complain of delays and congestion because the shuttles use Muni stops. Some fear that the shuttles are turning San Francisco into an expensive bedroom community for Silicon Valley. Others point to the shuttles as a harbinger of the privatization of public transportation.

As local politicians, activists, tech workers, concerned residents and bus company executives argued for and against the

proposal at yesterday's hearing, it became clear that the issue of the Google Bus has become an argument about what, exactly, that issue should be. Is the Google Bus everything that is wrong with San Francisco, the Bay Area and America today? Is it a convenient scapegoat, as many have written, or is it actually causing gentrification and displacement, as a study by University of California at Berkeley's Alexandra Goldman suggests.

(Goldman's [analysis](#) found evidence that rents near shuttle stops are rising faster than those farther away.) Is everyone overreacting because, as one tech worker testified, "It's just another way to get to work"? At various points during the hearing, speakers addressed the specter of future Google Bus protesters, exhorting them to turn their attention to affordable housing. Christa Jones, a shuttle company employee, shouted to the assembled crowd, "Wake the hell up! Stop trying to put transportation and housing issues together!"

The suggestion that people who object to the buses are confused, unintelligent or being misled is unlikely to endear them to the shuttle riders. I don't think anyone believes that the buses are the one and only issue facing San Francisco, or the most important one, or even that getting rid of the buses will bring a halt to gentrification and displacement. Edward Reiskin, the director of the MTA, started the hearing by stating that, "The buses have become a physical manifestation of a lot of issues, and we're not proposing to fix all those problems." Indeed, it was never possible that the Google Bus could carry the burden of all the outrage, indignation and fear felt by longtime and low-income San Francisco residents at the changing face of the city. But while the debate over gentrification, affordable housing, income inequality and the tech industry continues, I would argue that the Google Bus is actually a perfect emblem of another

problem facing San Franciscans and all Americans today, and it's a problem that isn't exclusive to the tech industry.

During yesterday's hearing, Michael Watson, the shuttle company representative, defended his company's operations, saying, "We've used Muni stops for 10 years cooperatively." It takes a certain amount of chutzpah to recast a behavior that is, in point of fact, *illegal* as a virtuous act of private-public collaboration. San Francisco's [Curb Priority Law](#) prohibits non-Muni vehicles from blocking bus stops, a law that carries a \$271 fine. Bus blockaders say that the various tech companies owe San Francisco [\\$1 billion in fines](#) for their illegal use of the stops over the past decade. (San Francisco Bay Guardian reporter [Rebecca Bowe calculated the unpaid bill](#) as closer to \$500 million to \$600 million, still a significant amount of money compared to the transportation agency's annual budget of \$800 million.) Google, Facebook and Apple aren't facing millions in unpaid parking fines, however, because the MTA hasn't been writing the tickets. Since the shuttles began using public bus stops, they've simply flouted the law without consequences.

Not only has San Francisco allowed tech companies to violate the law with impunity, but now that public outcry has made some kind of action politically expedient, the MTA seems to have allowed the industry to write the very regulations that are supposed to rein them in. The \$1/stop program was developed following meetings between tech companies and the MTA [convened by the Bay Area Council](#), a pro-business policy group that was [vocal in its opposition to union demands](#) during the recent Bay Area Rapid Transit labor dispute and strike. Under the guise of regulating the shuttles, the program regularizes the status quo – allowing the private buses to continue using the

approximately 200 bus stops it already uses for a nominal fee. (Large employers like Google are expected to pay about \$100,000 per year; were Google to be charged the \$271 fine, its bill would balloon to \$27.1 million each year.) The low fee is due to the fact that the agency is constrained by state law from charging the companies more than the cost of implementing the program. However, if Muni simply enforced its current laws instead of creating this new program, the monetary benefit to the city would be significantly higher.

This might not anger San Franciscans so much were it not for the fact that the MTA *does* enforce its laws, harshly, against individuals. Several speakers at the hearing had received tickets for the same behavior Google buses get away with daily – pulling into a bus stop to drop someone off. And while the \$271 fine may be insignificant to a company like Google, it's a potentially devastating sum for people struggling to get by in a city where the cost of living seems to rise by the day.

Meanwhile, since 2005, MTA has been engaging in aggressive proof-of-payment fare enforcement on bus lines. According to a [report](#) issued by the Data Center, Urban Habitat and local grass-roots organization People Organized to Win Employment Rights, these enforcement actions disproportionately target working-class communities of color. Just last week, I witnessed one of the MTA's "saturation stings" – more than a dozen transit agents and armed police officers waited at a busy bus stop in the Mission District, stopping every person who exited the bus. Riders caught without proof-of-payment face a \$100 ticket, but the consequences can be even higher for some. Several immigrant riders caught for fare evasion have been [turned over to Immigration and Customs Enforcement](#), despite San Francisco's

status as a [Sanctuary City](#). Jane Martin, the political director of POWER, told me that enforcement actions frequently turn violent, with officers chasing down fleeing passengers. The most tragic consequence of amped-up fare enforcement has been the death of Kenneth Harding Jr., a 19-year-old who was [shot and killed by police](#) when he ran away after they demanded proof of Muni payment.

Far from recovering money lost to free riders, the enforcement program is actually a huge money loser – the POWER study found that in 2009, the enforcement program cost \$9.5 million and only recovered \$1 million in revenue. What it has accomplished is creating an atmosphere of fear and distrust amongst bus riders, especially in communities of color like the Bayview. With the city making public transit riders feel like criminals in their own city at the same time that it turns a blind eye to infractions by private buses, it's no wonder anger has erupted and residents like Annabel Bolanos call the Google Bus "Conquistador transportation." The small size of the proposed fee for the shuttles only adds insult to injury. Speaker after speaker at the MTA hearing objected to the idea that the corporate buses would pay half the \$2 Muni fare for individuals.

This is the contradiction of the Google Bus, and it's one that should resonate across the country. The Google Bus is the embodiment of a system that indemnifies the actions of corporations while increasingly criminalizing and punishing individuals. Google and its ilk have always known that they could break the law right up until the day they were invited to make new laws. That is the power of corporate wealth, and in San Francisco as in the rest of the country, it rules supreme.

We see this pattern repeated over and over again. Currently, hundreds of thousands of West Virginians face uncertainty as to the safety of their water supply thanks in large part to a political system that doesn't bother to regulate companies storing toxic chemicals right next to a water treatment plant. In New York, as "The Daily Show's" [Jessica Williams pointed out](#), Black and Latino men face constant police harassment and brutality for the crime of walking in their neighborhoods while the Wall Street bankers who engaged in massive fraud not only walk free, but continue to pocket massive bonuses. It was individual homeowners who paid for the subprime mortgage malfeasance of predatory lenders, and it will be individual Detroit pensioners who pay for the city's bankruptcy rather than the banks whose loans must be repaid. Our Congress is too busy punishing the long-term unemployed to bother punishing employers who engage in rampant labor law violations. Our jails are overflowing with nonviolent drug offenders, but no one has gone to jail for causing the Great Recession.

We've been told by the Supreme Court that corporations are people. This ruling has given corporations untold influence over how our laws are written. When will corporations be asked to obey those laws as well?

What are Google's crimes and illicit activities?:

War Profiteering -

Google's investors and executives promoted the deaths of soldiers and civilians in order to control lithium mines in Afghanistan for Google car, phone, device and Goldman Sachs partnered commodity market rigging. ([Click for more details..](#))

Google spies on everyone -

Every single thing that Google does is designed to spy on people, capture their private information, create a psychological profile and use their own information against them for illicit political and profiteering purposes.

[\(Click for more details..\)](#)

Google uses Stasi tactics to manipulate the public -

Google uses Stasi propaganda psychological subliminal messaging, mood manipulation and influencing tricks to manipulate the public into voting for things that only Google owners believe in. [\(Click for more details..\)](#)

Sexual Deviancy -

Google's people are documented engaging in a huge number of sordid sex scandals which indicate the moral structure of Google. [\(Click for more details..\)](#)

Tax Fraud -

Google has been documented evading tax contributions and thereby cutting off funds for American schools, infrastructure repairs, fire houses, medical services and other public needs paid for by those taxes. [\(Click for more details..\)](#)

Bribery -

Google has been documented bribing political officials. [\(Click for more details..\)](#)

Election Rigging-

Google has been documented rigging U.S., India, British and other elections. [\(Click for more details..\)](#)

Murders? -

Numerous news articles have charged that Google executives or venture capitalists had Forrest Hayes, Rajeev Motwani, Gary D. Conley, Karl Slym, David Bird, Andrew Brietbart and a number of other persons killed because they threatened to expose Google's political manipulations ([Click for more details..](#))

Organizing a Government Coup -

Google is widely documented placing hundreds of it's staff inside the White House and decision points throughout the U.S. and California state government including the DOE and USPTO ([Click for more details..](#))

Attempting to kill off patent protection for U.S. inventors -

Google funded a program to change U.S. patent laws to prevent inventors from collecting the money that Google owes them for stealing their products. Google put it's lawyer in control of the U.S. Patent Office. ([Click for more details..](#))

Taking advantage of naive young employees -

Google has been sued by numerous employees because Google uses them and takes advantage of them. ([Click for more details..](#))

Promoting the loss of American jobs by massively importing overseas labor -

Google funds, hires and promotes cheap non-domestic labor. ([Click for more details..](#))

Google found to be a racist organization -

Google hires almost no blacks and has almost no black upper management. ([Click for more details..](#))

Running a cult-like environment -

Google puts employees through “mindfulness” brain washing and keeps employees on it’s buses, food courts, parties, social media and email in order to control all aspects of their lives and push scientology-like Google-thinking. ([Click for more details..](#))

Google promotes misogyny and the use of women as “sex objects” for billionaires -

Google has almost no women employees and only promotes “girlfriends” of the founders and VC’s. ([Click for more details..](#))

Google founder Larry Page makes a career of stealing ideas and technologies -

Read the New York Times article that blew the story wide open. ([Click for more details..](#))

The European Union, Russia, China, Spain and most non-U.S. controlled countries have filed charges against Google -

([Click for more details..](#))

Google was funded by rogue elements of the CIA that went wildly “off the reservation” -

See these Corbett Report documentaries and associates articles... ([Click for more details..](#))

Google created the failed “Arab Spring” -

Arab Spring turned out to be one of the most failed democracy movements in history and led to the deaths and torture of many people.([Click for more details..](#))

Stock market rigging -

Google works with Goldman Sachs to rig news to coincide with illegal stock market “pump and dumps”, “Flash Boy Algorithms”, “Buy bots” and

“Skims”. ([Click for more details..](#))

Google co-operated the Cleantech Crash -

Google put Steven Chu in the Department of Energy to provide kickbacks to Google VC's in a scam that cost American taxpayers over a trillion dollars in losses. ([Click for more details..](#))

Google owns part of Tesla in an illegal payola scheme -

Tesla was funded by political bribery in exchange or campaign rigging by Google. Tesla is a corrupt organization support by Google's corruption to exploit Google's lithium mine holdings with Sachs. Google/Tesla were also given parts of NASA as part of the payola including contracts, airfields for private jets and free jet fuel. ([Click for more details..](#))

Google is part of an illegal Cartel -

A Cartel is an illegal monopolistic alliance. The Silicon Valley No Poaching Lawsuit, The AngelGate Scandal and numerous investigations prove that Google runs 2/3 of Silicon Valley via an illegal Cartel while being protected by political officials Google bribes. ([Click for more details..](#))

And that is only part of the charges... -

([Click for more details..](#))

“A hero knows the responsibility that comes with freedom.” Be a HERO!
Write the U.S. Congress and demand that Google be shut down. Let's join together to stop Google from being the “Nazi's of the Internet”!

Topics: Google, Google Cartel, The Silicon Valley No Poaching Lawsuit, The AngelGate Scandal, stock market rigging, google election rigging, google and nasa, Google lithium, google lithium mines, frank guistra, Uranium mines, google and goldman sachs, “pump and dumps”, “Flash Boy Algorithms”, “Buy bots” and “Skims”, arab spring, The European Union, Russia, China, Spain, larry page, larry page steals ideas, google misogyny, google racism,

Julia Carrie Wong is a freelance reporter living in Oakland, California. Follow her on Twitter @juliacarriew