

University of San Francisco Law Professor issues Scathing Critique of Kamala Harris Law Career and Personal Megalomaniac Ambitions



University of San Francisco law professor Lara Bazelon wrote a [blistering op-ed in the New York Times](#) last week focused on the prosecutorial career of Sen. Kamala Harris (D-CA). Harris, who claims she is a progressive, took her seat in the 2020 democrat clown car, announcing she's running for president this morning to little fanfare on ABC's Good Morning America. She was elected to the U.S. Senate in 2017 after climbing the political ladder in California, partly as a result of regularly getting into the pants of the powerful mayor of San Francisco while district attorney of San Fran, and then on to the office of Attorney General of California. During that career, Bazelon argues that Harris routinely demonstrated poor judgment and her career was full of wrongful convictions and winning cases by technicality. She provides a laundry list of evidence you can read at the link, but here's a brief overview:

"Time after time, when progressives urged her to embrace criminal justice reforms as a district attorney and then the state's attorney general, Ms. Harris opposed them or stayed silent. Most troubling, Ms. Harris fought tooth and nail to uphold wrongful convictions that had been secured through official

misconduct that included evidence tampering, false testimony and the suppression of crucial information by prosecutors."

Bazon writes about a 2010 incident in which Harris was criticized for failing to act when she discovered a police lab technician was "intentionally sabotaging" her work. A judge "condemned Ms. Harris's indifference to the systemic violation of the defendants' constitutional rights," and 600 cases in which the technician was involved had to be dismissed. Perhaps even more concerning are Bazon's examples of Harris vigorously fighting for wrongful convictions, sometimes winning on mere technicalities.

"Ms. Harris also fought to keep Daniel Larsen in prison on a 28-year-to-life sentence for possession of a concealed weapon even though his trial lawyer was incompetent and there was compelling evidence of his innocence. Relying on a technicality again, Ms. Harris argued that Mr. Larsen failed to raise his legal arguments in a timely fashion. (This time, she lost). "All too often, she was on the wrong side of that history."

Journalist [Jill Filipovic argued on Twitter](#) that she judges Harris's history less harshly because black women "shoulder additional burdens" compared to white men, and because women have to prove that they are "tough." Filipovic acknowledges that Harris's race and gender don't "excuse" her record, but she insists, "context

matters.”

Harris has [also been accused](#) of covering For San Francisco Archdiocese Sex Abuse.

(NKT)

(The Intercept)

(CFP)

Kamala Harris Was Not a 'Progressive Prosecutor'

The senator was often on the wrong side of history when she served as California's attorney general.

By Lara Bazelon

Ms. Bazelon is a law professor and the former director of the Loyola Law School Project for the Innocent in Los Angeles.

SAN FRANCISCO — With the growing recognition that prosecutors hold the keys to a fairer criminal justice system, the term “progressive prosecutor” [has almost become trendy](#). This is how Senator Kamala Harris of California, a likely presidential candidate and a former prosecutor, describes herself.

But she's not.

Time after time, when progressives urged her to [embrace](#) criminal justice reforms as a district attorney and then the state's attorney general, Ms. Harris opposed them or stayed silent. Most troubling, Ms. Harris fought tooth and nail to uphold wrongful convictions that had been secured through official misconduct that included evidence tampering, false testimony and the suppression of crucial information by prosecutors.

Consider her record as San Francisco's district attorney from 2004 to 2011. Ms. Harris was criticized in 2010 for withholding

information about a police laboratory technician who had been accused of “[intentionally sabotaging](#)” her work and stealing drugs from the lab. After a memo surfaced showing that Ms. Harris’s deputies knew about the technician’s wrongdoing and [recent conviction](#), but failed to alert defense lawyers, a judge condemned Ms. Harris’s indifference to the systemic violation of the defendants’ constitutional rights.

Ms. Harris contested the ruling by arguing that the judge, whose husband was a defense attorney and had spoken publicly about the importance of disclosing evidence, had a [conflict of interest](#). Ms. Harris lost. More than 600 cases handled by the corrupt technician were dismissed.

Ms. Harris also championed state legislation under which parents whose children were found to be [habitually truant](#) in elementary school could be prosecuted, despite concerns that it would [disproportionately affect](#) low-income people of color.

Ms. Harris was similarly regressive as the state’s attorney general. When a federal judge in Orange County ruled that the death penalty was unconstitutional in 2014, [Ms. Harris appealed](#). In a public statement, she made [the bizarre argument](#) that the decision “undermines important protections that our courts provide to defendants.” (The [approximately 740](#) men and women awaiting execution in California might disagree).

In 2014, she declined to take a position on Proposition 47, a ballot initiative approved by voters, that reduced certain low-level felonies to misdemeanors. She [laughed](#) that year when a reporter asked if she would support the legalization of marijuana for recreational use. Ms. Harris finally [reversed course](#) in 2018, long after public opinion had shifted on the topic.

In 2015, she opposed a bill requiring her office to investigate shootings involving officers. And she refused to support statewide standards regulating the use of body-worn cameras by police officers. For this, she incurred criticism from [an array](#) of left-leaning reformers, including Democratic state senators, the A.C.L.U. and San Francisco's elected public defender. The activist Phelicia Jones, who had supported Ms. Harris for years, asked, "[How many more people need to die before she steps in?](#)"

Worst of all, though, is Ms. Harris's record in wrongful conviction cases. Consider George Gage, an electrician with no criminal record who was charged in 1999 with sexually abusing his stepdaughter, who reported the allegations years later. The case largely hinged on the stepdaughter's testimony and Mr. Gage was convicted.

Afterward, the judge discovered that the prosecutor had unlawfully held back potentially exculpatory evidence, including medical reports indicating that the stepdaughter had been repeatedly untruthful with law enforcement. Her mother even described her as "a pathological liar" who "lives her lies."

In 2015, when the case reached the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit in San Francisco, Ms. Harris's prosecutors defended the conviction. They pointed out that Mr. Gage, while forced to act as his own lawyer, had not properly raised the legal issue in the lower court, as the law required.

The appellate judges acknowledged this impediment and sent the case to mediation, a clear signal for Ms. Harris to dismiss the case. When she refused to budge, the court upheld the conviction on that technicality. Mr. Gage is still in prison serving a 70-year sentence.

That case is not an outlier. Ms. Harris also fought to keep Daniel Larsen in prison on a 28-year-to-life sentence for possession of a concealed weapon even though his trial lawyer was incompetent and there was compelling evidence of his innocence. Relying on a technicality again, Ms. Harris argued that Mr. Larsen failed to raise his legal arguments in a timely fashion. (This time, she lost.)

She also defended Johnny Baca's conviction for murder even though judges found a prosecutor presented false testimony at the trial. She relented only after a video [of the oral argument](#) received national attention and embarrassed her office.

And then there's Kevin Cooper, the death row inmate whose trial was infected by racism and corruption. He sought advanced DNA testing to prove his innocence, but Ms. Harris opposed it. (After The New York Times's exposé of the case went viral, she [reversed her position](#).)

All this is a shame because the state's top prosecutor has the power and the imperative to seek justice. In cases of tainted convictions, that means conceding error and overturning them. Rather than fulfilling that obligation, Ms. Harris turned legal technicalities into weapons so she could cement injustices.

In "The Truths We Hold," Ms. [Harris's recently published memoir, she writes](#): "America has a deep and dark history of people using the power of the prosecutor as an instrument of injustice."

She adds, "I know this history well — of innocent men framed, of charges brought against people without sufficient evidence, of prosecutors hiding information that would exonerate defendants, of the disproportionate application of the law."

All too often, she was on the wrong side of that history.

It is true that politicians must make concessions to get the support of key interest groups. The fierce, collective opposition of law enforcement and local district attorney associations can be hard to overcome at the ballot box. But in her career, Ms. Harris did not barter or trade to get the support of more conservative law-and-order types; she gave it all away.

Of course, the full picture is more complicated. During her tenure as district attorney, Ms. Harris refused to seek the death penalty in a case involving the murder of a police officer. And she started a successful program that offered first-time nonviolent offenders a chance to have their charges dismissed if they completed a rigorous vocational training. As attorney general, she mandated implicit bias training and was awarded for her work in correcting a backlog in the testing of rape kits.

But if Kamala Harris wants people who care about dismantling mass incarceration and correcting miscarriages of justice to vote for her, she needs to radically break with her past.

A good first step would be to apologize to the wrongfully convicted people she has fought to keep in prison and to do what she can to make sure they get justice. She should start with George Gage.

Scoop: Kamala Harris backs “unity” and “the people”

Kamala Harris entered the presidential sweepstakes yesterday. In keeping with its [kinder, gentler coverage](#) of presidential aspirants, now that all of them are likely to be Democrats, the Washington Post (per reporters Matt Viser and Chelsea Janes) delivers [a puff piece](#) about Harris’ entry.

The Post does acknowledge that Harris’ time as California attorney general “is bound to come under scrutiny during her presidential campaign.” However, it offers no inkling as to why.

Actually, that tenure has already come under scrutiny. A left-wing lawyer attacked it in a [New York Times op-ed](#). But all we learn from Viser and Janes is that Harris’ tenure “was marked by efforts to protect consumers and fight sexual trafficking.”

That’s not what Harris’ progressive critics think it was marked by. They cite fighting to uphold wrongful convictions, supporting the death penalty, and opposing measures to hold the police accountable, among other concerns.

Instead of mentioning any of this, the Post says that Harris’ message is “one of unity.” The core issue of her campaign is “the people,” Viser and Janes inform us, possibly with a straight face.

We also learn that Harris describes herself as “a proud American.” That’s reassuring.

Viter and Janes gush that, in the Senate Harris has “earned a reputation” for “a skeptical approach to Trump administration officials.” They do not explain how this distinguishes Harris from four dozen other Senate Democrats.

They also cite Harris’ reputation for “sharp questioning.” The acuity of Harris’ questioning is debatable; its selectivity is not.

In 2017, she [declined to ask a single question](#) of Ayaan Hirsi Ali and Asra Q. Nomani when they appeared before the Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs to testify about the oppression and grotesque practices — e.g. forced marriages and genital mutilation — women face in many Muslim communities in the U.S. and around the world.

For Harris, identity politics and political correctness trumped any concern for women’s rights. But don’t expect to read about this in the Washington Post.

-  No file chosen

[Dan Patterson](#) • [a day ago](#)

Tyrants are available in many flavors and this one is of the shrieking, hectoring, empty husk variety. And she is immune from critique; just look at her skin and note her "she-ness". Can't say a damned thing about this immature high-school debate team star else ye shall feel the wrath of the Bitches of Affirmative Action.

- [8](#)

- •
- [Reply](#)
- •
- Share ›



-



-



-

-



[Avatar](#)

[Doctor Weasel Dan Patterson](#) • [6 hours ago](#)

It's the one-drop rule. She is less than half black, but counts as black because that's how the Democrats have always wanted it, from slavery through Jim Crow to today.

- [3](#)

- •

- [Reply](#)

- •

- Share ›



-



-

-



[Avatar](#)

[Signor Ugarte](#) • [a day ago](#)

Just another dindoo.....

 [Thumbnail](#)

- [7](#)
- •
- [Reply](#)
- •
- Share ›
 -
-
- ○ ■
-
- ○
-

 [Avatar](#)

[BluesJunky](#) • [a day ago](#)

From what I saw in the Kavanaugh hearings, the only thing she deserves is a backhand and a boot in the ass on her way out the door. Sadly, though, she oozes sleaze, so she's got a real shot at winning the Democrat nomination.

- [10](#)
- •
- [Reply](#)
- •
- Share ›
 -
-
- ■
-

- ■
-

 [Avatar](#)

[Pinko Flamingo Gringo BluesJunky](#) • [10 hours ago](#)

Now envision Trump dismantling her in the debates.
And smile.

- [5](#)
- •
- [Reply](#)
- •
- Share ›



- ■ ■
-



-
-

 [Avatar](#)

[Number 6](#) • [a day ago](#)

The new face of evil...

- [7](#)
- •
- [Reply](#)
- •
- Share ›



- ■

-
- ○
-

 [Avatar](#)

[Kelly.J](#) • [a day ago](#)

Being from California, its no secret that Harris slept her way all the way to the Senate seat. Perhaps not the best method to use in a POTUS campaign.

All these issues were known here, but since she was the Party's Choice she won her election. Facts and Truth not withstanding.

- [6](#)
- •
- [Reply](#)
- •
- Share ›



-

- ■
-
- ■
-

 [Avatar](#)

[linnilu Kelly.J](#) • [6 hours ago](#)

At least if she is the nominee, the women don't dare say anything about the false "pussy grabbing"! Can you imagine the comebacks? LOL! What was SHE grabbing?

- [4](#)

- •
- [Reply](#)
- •
- Share ›

•

- ▪
-
- ▪
-

 [Avatar](#)

[Doctor Weasel linnilu](#) • [6 hours ago](#)

She took a position on Willie Brown's staff.

- [5](#)
- •
- [Reply](#)
- •
- Share ›

•

- ▪
-
- ▪
-

 [Avatar](#)

[linnilu Doctor Weasel](#) • [4 hours ago](#)

LOL! ICWYDT!

- [3](#)

- •
- [Reply](#)
- •
- Share ›
-

-
- ○ ■
-
- ○
-

 [Avatar](#)

[antsey Doctor Weasel](#) • [28 minutes ago](#)

Very precisely stated with comical wit.

- •
- [Reply](#)
- •
- Share ›
-

-
- ○ ■ ■ ■ ■
-
- ○
-

 [Avatar](#)

[TheRealTruthSerum](#) • [a day ago](#)

Acknowledgement of the sleazy snail-trail left by liberals. Also, she's one of the whitest looking black women I've ever seen. You never see a black woman in politics with long

press-on nails, a shag carpet weave, and more makeup than a drag queen. Bad for business, I guess.

- [5](#)
- •
- [Reply](#)
- •
- Share ›



- ■



 [Avatar](#)

[Oldav8r](#) • [21 hours ago](#)

Whatever happened to the “content of her character” test Jill?

- [4](#)
- •
- [Reply](#)
- •
- Share ›



- ■



 [Avatar](#)

[Igor Oldav8r](#) • [6 hours ago](#)

I think that went out with the Boy Scouts accepting homosexuals. Maybe sooner, though.

- [2](#)
- •
- [Reply](#)
- •
- Share ›
-

-
- ○ ▪ ▪
-
- ○
-

 [Avatar](#)

[sr71](#) • [11 hours ago](#)

I just hope information like what was presented in the above article is remembered or at least re-published closer to election time.

Is it just me or does that unflattering picture of Harris above remind you of MooseSchell?

- [3](#)
- •
- [Reply](#)
- •
- Share ›
-

-

- ○ ■
-
- ○
-

 [Avatar](#)

[Vaughn Burckard](#) • [7 hours ago](#)

Let's face it, the last, ethical, Black, Lefty was probably Thurgood Marshall. I assume he was a Dem??.?

- [2](#)
- •
- [Reply](#)
- •
- Share ›

■

- ○ ■
-
- ■
-

 [Avatar](#)

[Jude MacAbaech Vaughn Burckard](#) • [an hour ago](#)

I thought it was Frederick Douglas, but he was a Republican - my bad.

- •
- [Reply](#)
- •
- Share ›
-

-
- ○ ■ ■
- ○ =
-

 [Avatar](#)

[Ron Ronglien](#) • [24 minutes ago](#)

Was this report out there when she ran for the senate? We had a half black Obama for president and we all know how that panned out. Now the democrats are going to try again! Why don't she run as 1/2 Indian?