

WHEN DEMOCRATS TRY TO KILL YOU

Several stories in the past week suggest that cracks might finally be appearing in [the leftist/Democratic/media smear machine](#) that since 2008 has used lies and slanders to destroy the reputations of its opponents.

- [Author Walter Mosley quits 'Star Trek: Discovery' after being threatened with censorship for using n-word](#)
- [Dave Chappelle's Netflix Special Is A Giant Middle Finger To Social Justice](#)
- [Angry Whoopi Goldberg blasts actress Debra Messing: How dare you try to blacklist Trump supporters?](#)
- [Backlash against actor calling for blacklisting of Trump's Hollywood donors](#)
- [Kirstie Alley Blasts Bette Midler Over Controversial 'Blackground' Tweet](#)
- [Andrew Yang Refuses To Join Cancel Culture On SNL Cast Member's Jokes](#)

What makes the first five stories above different from everything I have seen in the past two decades, since Bill Clinton's perjury and impeachment in the late 1990s, is the willingness of people on both the right and left, within the entertainment community, to come out publicly and condemn the leftwing blacklisting efforts that have normally been supported blindly and in mass by those same communities.

In the past the support for such blacklisting threats against conservative entertainers would have been monolithic, and any

conservatives in Hollywood would have been silent, out of fear they own careers would have been destroyed.

Now we not only have a number of conservative entertainers fearlessly blasting this fascist blacklisting, they are being joined by many liberal entertainer icons.

The last story is similar, but instead of the entertainment community the story involves a rebellion by a Democratic politician. Since Bill Clinton's presidency I have practically never seen a Democratic politician resist this blacklist culture. Yang might be the very first. And I say that despite the fact that [I definitely oppose his politics](#).

We are also seeing some of the same thing with this week's New York Times slander of Supreme Court Justice Brett Kavanaugh. While the right has justly blasted the newspaper for its partisan lying and incompetence, [so have some liberal outlets](#).

It could be that the leftist smear machine has finally gone too far, and has become so vile and despicable that even many ardent leftists can no longer tolerate it.

Then again, I am a forever optimistic person, and sometimes see hope where none exists. These might merely be the exceptions that prove the rule. Only time will tell us whether this is a new positive trend, or a minor blip in left's never-ending quest to persecute its opponents.

- Cotour
[September 17, 2019 at 2:36 pm](#)

And the American people are absorbing and digesting it all as it plays out in real time and will be commenting on it all very soon.

And what comes after that is what will be of the greatest importance. If the Right wins then what will the Left do next? How far will they be willing to go? "Fundamental change", "By any means necessary"? It is almost beyond anyones ability to imagine.

And if the Left wins then all financial hell will break loose, and it will remain in chaos because of the lack of confidence that the entire world will have in regards to how America moves into the future.

What ever side you find yourself on, this will be without doubt the most interesting and pivitol elections in American, maybe even the worlds history. And of course it will be starring the most famous man on the planet and really the only person who could or would be able to pull any of it off.

Its going to be humongous!

- Edward
[September 17, 2019 at 5:54 pm](#)

Is this a rejection of recent leftward motions of the Overton Window, or is this just part of the "growing pains" associated with these motions? Has the leftist smear machine begun to crack or does it take a little time for everyone to digest and accept the "new normal?" As I recently said, "even liberals have to toe the continually changing party line to remain safe."

<https://behindtheblack.com/behind-the-black/essays-and-commentaries/the-democratic-media-smear-machine/#comment-1070782>

The Dave Chappelle article noted the case of “The Sacrifice of Nimesh Patel,” in which the party line changed while he was on stage telling a joke*.

A week after being escorted off the stage by a couple of woke student leaders, he *“naïvely theoriz[ed] that the episode was a symptom of ‘a 24-hour news cycle’ that makes it ‘hard to sift through and find the signal and find what is really being said.’*

“No, Patel. No, no. This wasn’t the action of ‘a small group,’ and college students aren’t victims of the ‘news cycle.’ This is the new reality, and it works just as social justice dictates.”

The left giveth and the left taketh away. It is like The Outer Limits, where “they” control what you can say and hear. They even control all that you have and all that you are. They control what we buy, do, say, and are. The Supreme Court ruled that government can do this to us, just so long as they associate a tax with it. How democratic is it when We the People are the controlled, not the controllers of our own government? It is hardly a sign of the blessings of liberty that the Constitution was established in order to secure for the founding generation and its posterity.

The Chappelle article concludes: *“The movement has overwhelmed each and every part of our culture. Politics is no longer about practical policy. Higher education is no longer about prepping young adults for the workforce. The*

entertainment industry is no longer concerned with entertaining.

"And comedy is no longer about making people laugh. It's about pushing a cause, reinforcing a dogma, and instilling a worldview. It's about social justice."

I think the author, Eddie Scarry, meant "It's about social *in*justice." But the Overton Window destroyed comedy a couple of years ago. This is why all the late night shows didn't joke about Obama the way they did with Bill Clinton, and why they are now part of the movement to overthrow Trump and negate our democratic system, the cause that the left is pushing.

The Hot Air article describes why impeaching Kavanaugh is unrealistic, but a complete lack of evidence against the target hasn't stopped the left from insisting upon impeaching Trump. At least with Kavanaugh there was an allegation, fake as it was; with Trump there is no alleged crime. Not a high crime, not a misdemeanor, not anything. Except that he isn't Hillary. The Democrats' only arrow in their quiver: Impeachment. The pinnacle of democracy, justice, and the American way.

Interestingly, the Walter Mosley article tells us that he quit because social (in)justice was used against him, and he felt like it had victimized him rather than protected him. *"There I was being chastised for criticizing the word that oppressed me and mine for centuries."*

From the Whoopi Goldberg article: *"Arguably Messing's impulse is less obnoxious than what Joaquin Castro did to*

Trump donors in San Antonio for the simple reason that anyone who can afford to attend a presidential fundraiser in Beverly Hills can afford private security and a few lost job opportunities."

Well, those sound like two wonderful privileges. Just because someone can afford it then it is justified for some spiteful person to impose that cost onto him? What the hell is wrong with our society that someone loses out on a few job opportunities or needs private security just because he donates to a political campaign? There is a motion of the Overton Window that is anti-American and definitely anti-democracy. If democratic participation is that costly, then how is it democracy?

With luck, even the Democrats are beginning to realize that this is not the country that they want to live in.

What an irony that such anti-democratic actions come from a political party that calls itself Democratic.

* Do jokes exist in the social (in)justice world? "A being enters an establishment. The proprietor asks 'why the facial feature?'" [Wait for laugh.] Gee, that was funny back when it was a horse and a long face. Maybe we need to hide the culturally insensitive jokes by numbering them: "number 23!" Hah! I fell out of my chair laughing at that one. In fact, I may need CPR. Anyone? Anyone? Bueller?

- Cotour
[September 17, 2019 at 6:08 pm](#)

" Do jokes exist in the social (in)justice world?"

No, no it does not, not allowed, jokes are politically incorrect.

And anyone who can not laugh at themselves becomes in time a dangerous entity who feels the need to tell (read force) everyone else what they must, and must not do. Yes, very dangerous indeed.

- wayne

[September 17, 2019 at 6:55 pm](#)

There might be a few cracks but we need to remember these Statists have been at for literally 100+ years. I view this as a internal squabble amongst the left over whether to go full-tilt revolution, and the more Fabian types who want to destroy the system from within.

For these people, it's always 2 steps forward and 1 step back.

pivoting....

"Dr. Trump"

Louder with Crowder

<https://youtu.be/sX0Hi7fZxZ0>

2:49

- Andi

[September 17, 2019 at 7:13 pm](#)

"Maybe we need to hide the culturally insensitive jokes by numbering them: 'number 23!' "

Reminds me of a joke that I think goes something like this: A man walks into a bar and sits down. One of the patrons stands up and says "34!". Everyone breaks out laughing. Someone else stands and says "56!", and everyone guffaws.

This goes on for a while. Finally the man asks what is going on. The bartender replies that everyone here is a regular, and they tell the same jokes so often that to save time, each joke is given a number, so when someone yells out the number, everyone knows what the joke is. Intrigued, the man jumps up and shouts, "87!". Everyone just stares at him. The man looks at the bartender quizzically. The bartender replies, "Well, you have to know how to tell it right!"

- D3F1ANT

[September 18, 2019 at 5:30 am](#)

There is NO crack in the "Smear Machine." These people in the articles: Whoopie, Yang, etc. are still displaying their hateful Leftist bias on a daily basis. Any anomalous behavior on their parts should NOT be viewed as a change in their philosophy OR strategy but as a momentarily politically expedient move that (in the end) FURTHERED their efforts to smear and silence.

Don't be fooled. This is how the Right keeps hamstringing itself.

These zealots NEVER change.

- Francisco Machado

[September 18, 2019 at 6:07 am](#)

Collectivist borderline personality disorder personified. Humor? Hell, you damn well better think about the implications those controlling SOBs will attach to you if you laugh at the wrong moment, and when you have to analyze the political modality update before you laugh nothing's

funny anymore. You'd as well try a practical joke on Torquemada. A pity, too – it's like a Gilbert & Sullivan courthouse scene enacted by a bunch of rigid Calvinist antiquities who would be worthy of naught more than ridicule for their impossible proposals if they weren't so predictably dangerous.

- Cotour

[September 18, 2019 at 8:38 am](#)

JERROLD NADLER HAS MET HIS MATCH, AND THEN SOME

Cory Lewendowski stifles Jerrold Nadler and gives him exactly what he deserves as he attempts to cast the baseless impeachment Democrat leadership narrative. Well done, along with the Congress men that kept Nadler in line.

<https://youtu.be/19uaZPWuyzA>

Why? Because the Democrats have nothing of any substance related to impeachment of the president as the 2020 presidential election approaches.

Desperation is the key word.

What / who do the Democrats have to save them? Joe Biden, Jackass and gaff machine, Bernie Sanders, dusty old Communist, and the person who appears may well be the Democrat presidential candidate in 2020, Elizabeth "Pow wow chow" Warren, the shrill, scolding Liberal professorial school marm.

- [Robert Zimmerman](#)

[September 18, 2019 at 8:40 am](#)

Francisco Machado: Welcome to Behind the Black. Your comment and opinion is very appreciated. Just be aware of my rules, as indicated on the webpage in the right column (at the bottom on mobile phones):

I welcome all opinions, even those that strongly criticize my commentary.

However, name-calling and obscenities will not be tolerated. First time offenders who are new to the site will be warned. Second time offenders or first time offenders who have been here awhile will be suspended for a week. After that, I will ban you. Period.

You don't break these rules, but your passion and terminology here has you very closely skirting the edge. Be aware.

Regardless, thank you. I appreciate your perspective. I also think we must remember that the bad guys in this battler are actually very few, and that our target audience is the vast uneducated middle. We must persuade *them*. Think about what you say. Will it impress them with your stance, or turn them off?

- Cotour
[September 18, 2019 at 9:05 am](#)

A little bit more, where does this apparent self hatred come from?

<https://youtu.be/YxQTotw393k>