

Fuel Cell Systems Analysis

R. K. Ahluwalia, X. Wang, E. Doss, R. Kumar

*2005 USDOE Hydrogen, Fuel Cells &
Infrastructure Technologies Program Review*

Crystal City, VA

May 23-25, 2005

*This presentation does not contain any proprietary
or confidential information*

Argonne National Laboratory ***Project ID# FC35***



*A U.S. Department of Energy
Office of Science Laboratory
Operated by The University of Chicago*



Overview

Timeline

- Start date: Oct 2003
- End date: Open
- Percent complete: 30%

Barriers

- A. Compressors/Expanders
- C. Fuel Cell Power System Benchmarking
- D. Heat Utilization
- H. Start-up Time
- R. Thermal and Water Mgmt

Budget

- Total funding: \$400K
 - DOE share: 100%
- FY04 funding: \$400K
- FY05 funding: \$400K

Partners

- Honeywell CEM+TWM projects
- IEA Annexes 17 and 20
- FreedomCAR fuel cell tech team
- HTM working group

Objectives

Develop a validated system model and use it to assess design-point, part-load and dynamic performance of automotive fuel cell systems.

- Support DOE in setting R&D goals and research directions
- Establish metrics for gauging progress of R&D plans

Approach

Develop, document & make available versatile system design and analysis tool.

- GTool: Stand-alone code on PC platform
- GTool_ENG: Coupled to PSAT (MATLAB/SIMULINK)

Validate the models against data obtained in laboratory and at Argonne's Fuel Cell Test Facility.



Apply models to issues of current interest.

- Work with FreedomCAR Technical Teams.
- Work with DOE contractors as requested by DOE.

Membrane Humidifier Model

Counterflow shell and tube configuration (Perma Pure)


- Mass transfer determined from gradient of membrane water content (λ) and diffusivity $D(\lambda, T)$
- Coupled heat and mass transfer



Membrane Humidifier Model Validation (Data from Honeywell / Perma Pure)



Mass transfer decreases above 50°C inlet dry air temperature

		Dry Air	Wet Air
Temperature	°C	25 - 70	80
Relative Humidity	%	Dry	100
Pressure	atm	1.3 - 2.5	1.3 - 2.3
Air Flow Rate (Dry)	g/s	1.7 - 8	1.5 - 7.2



Pressurized FCS with Membrane Humidifier



- Demister between stack and humidifier not required
- Compressor discharge cooled with low-T stack coolant or air
- Possible to maintain stack at 80°C at all loads
- 60-85% outlet RH @ 25-50% ambient RH



Pressurized FCS with Enthalpy Wheel Humidifier



Model developed in FY04, validated with Honeywell/Emprise data

- EW model used in FY05 to support TWM Honeywell program
- Evaluated EW for high-temperature membranes at 120°C



With EW in HTM-FCS, cathode air at 120°C can be humidified to 10-30% RH at 2.5 atm and <15% RH at 1 atm

	Pressurized System			Ambient Pressure System		
% Flow	% RH In	% RH	η_{MT} (%)	% RH In	% RH	η_{MT} (%)
EW Space Velocity = 700,000/h						
100	9-17	27-34	25-45	6-9	15-16	35-45
10	31-34	33-35	80-85	33	36	80-85
EW Space Velocity = 350,000/h						
100	20-30	35-42	40-60	10-13	17-19	50-60
10	35	38	80-85	37	40	80-85





Self-Start of PEFC Stacks from Sub-Freezing Temperatures

2-D Dynamic Simulation Model

- ✓ Reactions with species transport in five-layer MEA
- ✓ Formation and melting of ice
- ✓ Effect of ice on ECSA & transport
- ✓ Capillary transport of water in GDL & porous catalysts
- ✓ T distribution in bipolar plate, flow channels and MEA

Simulation under conditions for which self-start is possible at -20°C


$P = 1 \text{ atm}$, $V_{\text{cell}} = 0.4 \text{ V}$, $SG_{\text{ice}} = 0.5$, 50- μm membrane



Simulation Result: Liquid Water Formation


Simulation under conditions for which self-start is possible

- Ice and liquid water coexist between 18 and 22 s
- Liquid water volume decreases for $t > 20$ s
- May need to humidify air because stack heats up to 70°C at 55 s





Simulation results are sensitive to the assumed bulk density of ice

- $P = 1 \text{ atm}$, $V_{\text{cell}} = 0.6 \text{ V}$, $50\text{-}\mu\text{m}$ membrane, $T_i = -20^\circ\text{C}$
- At $\text{SG} = 0.2$, cathode is completely covered with ice and stack temperature equilibrates below the melting point.
- Self start is possible for $\text{SG} > 0.5$.




Simulated Effects of Membrane Thickness and Pressure

- More rapid build-up of ice on cathode catalyst in a pressurized stack
- Lower current density at pressure and with thicker membranes because of larger Ohmic overpotential across membrane




Self start is generally easier at lower cell voltages

- Self start is not possible at 0.8 V
- Self start is possible at 0.6 V but there is an intermediate period (25-30 s) over which the power decreases.
- Start up is robust at 0.4 V.



Simulated Effect of Stack Heating

- Start-up from -20°C is more robust and faster if the bipolar plate can be electrically heated.



Alternate Methods of Start-up from Subfreezing Temperatures

Start-up Time: Time to place the FCS in a state where it is capable of producing 90% of rated power on demand.

Start-up Energy Consumption: Additional fuel energy consumed on FUDS w.r.t FCS at normal operating T.

Alternate methods evaluated

1. Internal oxidation of hydrogen on MEA catalyst
 - Constrained by flammability limits
2. External combustion of hydrogen
 - Ineffective: 5.6 MJ of fuel energy needed to transfer 1.4 MJ needed to heat the stack to 0°C from -20°C
3. Insulated coolant tank with electrical heating
4. Insulated stack with electrical heating
 - Maintain stack at threshold temperature
 - Self-start stack at threshold T: < 10 s, 1 MJ of fuel energy

Stack Heating to Threshold Temperature


Insulated Stack with Electrical Heating

- 1" insulation, 0.05 W/m.K
- Stack cools from 80°C to 0°C in 13-25 h
- A 40-kW hybrid battery maintains stack at 0°C for 6-24 h

Ambient Temperature	Cool-Down Time to 0°C	Heat Loss at 0°C
-10°C	25 h	20 W
-20°C	19 h	40 W
-40°C	13 h	80 W

- Periodically operate FCS for ~4 min at 25% power
 - ✓ Recharge the battery (480 W.h)
 - ✓ Excess power (60%) to electrical heaters
 - ✓ Heat the stack from 0 to 80°C
 - ✓ 5.3 MJ/day fuel energy consumption at -20°C ambient

Completed study on fuel economy of hybrid load-following fuel cell vehicles



Issues addressed in the study

- How much gain in fuel economy can we expect if FCEVs are hybridized with energy storage systems?
 - How does the gain compare with ICE hybrids?
 - How is the gain affected by North American, European and Japanese drive cycles?
- Vehicle Accessory

Reviewers' Comments

Generally favorable reviews with recommendations to

- Redirect away from fuel processing options
- Study effect of sub-zero °C startup and operation
- Place more emphasis on model development
- Keep engaged in thermal and water management
- Maintain close communications with fuel cell tech team

FY05 work scope consistent with above recommendations

- Focusing on direct hydrogen fuel cell systems
- Working on start-up from sub-freezing temperatures
- Developing models for enthalpy wheels, membrane humidifiers, ice formation.....
- Working with Honeywell and TIAX
- Member of fuel cell tech team

Proposed Future Work

- Continue work on freeze-start of fuel cell systems
- Continue collaboration with Honeywell on thermal and water management system
- Continue to support DOE/FreedomCAR development efforts
- Participate in validation effort
- Explore CHP applications of FCS
- Support HFCIT program on system analysis

Publications and Presentations

Journal Publications

R. K. Ahluwalia, X. Wang, and A. Rousseau, “Fuel Economy of Hybrid Fuel Cell Vehicles,” *Journal of Power Sources*, (in print), 2005.

R. K. Ahluwalia and X. Wang, “Direct Hydrogen Fuel Cell Systems for Hybrid Vehicles,” *Journal of Power Sources*, 139, 152-164, 2005.

R. K. Ahluwalia, X. Wang A. Rousseau and R. Kumar, “Fuel Economy of Hydrogen Fuel Cell Vehicles,” *Journal of Power Sources*, 130, 192-201, 2004.

Conferences

R. K. Ahluwalia, X. Wang, and A. Rousseau, “Fuel Economy of Fuel Cell Hybrid Vehicles,” *2004 Fuel Cell Seminar*, San Antonio, TX, November 1-5, 2004.

R. K. Ahluwalia, X. Wang, R. Kumar, “Fuel Cell Systems for Hybrid vehicles,” *2004 International PEM Fuel Cell Conference, Hsinchu*, Taiwan, October 14-15, 2004.

R. K. Ahluwalia and X. Wang , “Performance of Hybrid Fuel Cell Vehicles,” *Annex XX Meeting*, Stuttgart, Germany, September 28, 2004.

R. K. Ahluwalia and X. Wang, “Systems Level Perspective on Humidification in Direct Hydrogen Fuel Cell Systems with a High-Temperature Membrane,” *USDOE High Temperature Membrane Working Group Meeting*, Philadelphia, PA, May 27, 2004.

Presentations

R. K. Ahluwalia and X. Wang, “Startup of PEFC Stacks From Sub-Freezing Temperatures,” *DOE Workshop on Fuel Cell Operations at Sub-Freezing Temperatures*, Phoenix, AZ, February 1-2, 2005.

R. K. Ahluwalia and X. Wang, “Fuel Cell Systems for Hybrid Vehicles,” DaimlerChrysler Research and Technology, Ulm, Germany, September 27, 2004.

Hydrogen Safety

- This is an analytical and computer modeling project. There are no hydrogen safety issues involved.

The submitted manuscript has been created by the University of Chicago as Operator of Argonne National Laboratory ("Argonne") under Contract No. W-31-109-ENG-38 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The U.S. Government retains for itself, and others acting on its behalf, a paid-up, nonexclusive, irrevocable worldwide license in said article to reproduce, prepare derivative works, distribute copies to the public, and perform publicly and display publicly, by or on behalf of the Government.