

# CARBIDE-DERIVED CARBONS WITH TUNABLE POROSITY OPTIMIZED FOR HYDROGEN STORAGE

PI: Prof. John E. Fischer, Dr. Giovanna Laudisio

*Department of Materials Science and Engineering,  
University of Pennsylvania,  
Philadelphia, PA 19104*

Co-PI: Prof. Yury Gogotsi, Ranjan K. Dash, Dr. Gleb Yushin

*Department of Materials Science and Engineering,  
Drexel University,  
Philadelphia, PA 19104*

Co-PI: Dr. Taner Yildirim

*National Institute of Standards and Technology,  
Gaithersburg, MD 20899*

Project ID # STP44

# Abstract

Carbide derived carbons (CDCs) represent a new class of nanoporous carbons produced by selective thermo-chemical etching of metal atoms from carbides. CDCs have BET specific surface area of up to 2000 m<sup>2</sup>/g and up to 80% open pore volume available for hydrogen storage. The structure and porosity of CDC can be controlled by the structure of the carbide precursor as well as the process parameters, including synthesis temperature and environment. These properties, combined with a low cost, make CDC a potential candidate for hydrogen storage. In this work, CDCs produced from B<sub>4</sub>C, ZrC, TiC, and SiC were evaluated for their hydrogen storage capacity. Hydrogen sorption measurements were performed at 77K by a volumetric method using a Quantachrome Autosorb-1 gas sorption analyzer. The amount of hydrogen adsorbed at atmospheric pressure reached 3 wt.%.

# Overview

## Timeline

- Project start: 10/1/2004
- Project end: 2008
- Percent completed: ~15%

## Budget

- Total project funding (expected) \$1,377,000
  - DOE share 80%
  - Contractor share 20%
- Funding for FY05: \$300,000

## Barriers

- Need a better control over pore structure and microstructure of CDCs.
- Low throughput of hydrogen storage measurements (need additional gas sorption equipment).

## Partners

- Collaboration with various companies if planned

# Distribution of Work

## Drexel University

Material synthesis

Structural characterizations (Raman spectroscopy, X-ray diffraction)

Porosity analysis using gas sorption technique

Ambient pressure (1 atm) hydrogen storage measurements

## University of Pennsylvania

Small angle X-ray scattering (SAXS)

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)

Activation

## NIST

High pressure hydrogen storage measurements


Neutron scattering studies

Simulation using density functional tight binding (DFTB) method

# Carbide Derived Carbon (CDC)



Carbide




Etching Agent:

$Cl_2, F_2, Br_2, I_2,$   
 $HCl, HBr, HI$

$$V_{SiC} = V_{CDC}$$

Temperature:  
200-1200°C



Carbide Derived Carbon



## Process Features:

- Precise control over structure and pore size distribution in CDC
- Network of open pores
- Conformal (no size and shape change during transformation)
- Any metal carbide can be used
- Linear kinetics (can be grown to any thickness)
- Various forms: coatings, free standing monoliths and powder


# SAXS Study



Small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) were performed on a multi angle diffractometer equipped with a Cu rotating anode, double focusing optics, evacuated flight path and a two-dimensional wire detector.

➤ Structure evolution with increasing processing temperature is confirmed by SAXS measurements


# Effect of Surface Area on Hydrogen Storage



Hydrogen sorption measurements were performed using Quantachrome Autosorb-1 at 77 K and 1 atm pressure. Specific surface area was calculated according to BET (Brunauer, Emmet, Teller) equation for argon sorption at 77K.

- Hydrogen storage capacity of CDCs is higher than that of carbon nanotubes and other carbon nanomaterials.
- Hydrogen storage capacity increases with surface area and extrapolation of this relationship shows that a specific surface area of ~5750 m<sup>2</sup>/g will be required for reaching the DOE target, which to date has not been achieved.
- Carbon nanomaterials having similar surface area showed large variations in hydrogen storage capacity.
- Analysis of the pore size/volume shows that the traditionally way of plotting hydrogen wt.% vs SSA is misleading.


# Hydrogen Uptake per Surface Area vs. Pore Width in CDCs




- CDC from ZrC
- CDC from TiC
- CDC from B<sub>4</sub>C
- CDC from SiC

- Plotting hydrogen wt.% per specific surface area shows that the contribution of specific surface area to hydrogen storage capacity decreases with increase in pore width.
- Pores between 0.6 and 0.8 nm showed the highest hydrogen storage capacity per unit surface area.

# Carbide Derived Carbon vs. Carbon Nanotubes



# Where Are We?



# Conclusions

- CDC is a nanoporous material with tunable pore size, specific surface area up to 2000 m<sup>2</sup>/g and pore volume up to 1 cc/g available for hydrogen storage.
- At 1 atm pressure and 77K, CDCs has a gravimetric hydrogen storage density up to **3.0 wt.%** and volumetric density up to **40 kg/m<sup>3</sup>**.
- Small pores are more efficient for hydrogen storage.
- Optimization of porosity in CDCs has a potential to meet the DOE target at low temperature and ambient pressure.

# Future Work

- Study the effect of high pressure on hydrogen storage.
- Optimize CDC to achieve more than 4.5 wt.% by 2006 and 6.5 wt.% by 2008.

# Summary of Program Plans

TASK	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
<b><i>Task 1: Synthesis of CDC's and characterization of porosity, size distribution, and volume fraction</i></b>					
Synthesis		██████████			
Functionalization		██████████	██████████	██████████	
Activation		██████████	██████████	██████████	
Porosity analysis		██████████	██████████	██████████	██████████
Structural analysis (Raman and XRD study)		██████████	██████████		
Low pressure (1 atm) hydrogen storage analysis		██████████	██████████		
High pressure hydrogen storage measurement		██████████	██████████	██████████	██████████
<b><i>Task 2: Characterization of carbon structure, bonding, sorption, and doping studies</i></b>					
SAXS study		██████████	██████████	██████████	
TEM study		██████████	██████████	██████████	
DSC study			██████████		
<b><i>Task 3: Determination of hydrogen absorption capacity, binding, kinetics, and homogeneity from neutron scattering and first-principles modeling</i></b>				██████████	██████████

## At University of Pennsylvania

The University of Pennsylvania's Office of Environmental Health and Radiation Safety (EHRS) promotes health, safety and environmental protection in teaching, research, health care and administrative activities by providing services, advice and compliance assistance. EHRS provides leadership in developing, implementing and supporting high quality programs that allows students, faculty and staff to protect themselves from hazards they may encounter at the University.

## Safety At Drexel University

The Drexel University Department of Safety and Health is responsible for the development and implementation of policies and procedures designed to create a safe workplace environment that is conducive to learning and research. Our goal is to develop compliance programs that meet and/or exceed regulatory standards and to promote standardized practices for all campuses that reduce the overall risk of injury to human health or the environment.

## At NIST

The NIST reactor and experimental operations are regulated by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. All aspects of the experimental program are rigorously reviewed by the Safety Evaluation Committee and Hazard Review Committee.

### **Chain of command for after hour emergencies**

**Health Physics:** coverage to address radiation safety 24-7

**Beam Experiments**

**Coordinator:** monitors neutron beam activities

**Safety Officer:** answers safety questions and monitors the entire facility