

Organizing a crowd-sourced public RICO Corruption Case filing

Mon, 17 Mar 2014 17:00:00, newstips66, [post_tag: 60-minutes-investigation, post_tag: anticorruption, post_tag: bribery, category: brotopia, post_tag: corruption, post_tag: crowd-sourcing, post_tag: crowd-rico, post_tag: dept-of-energy, post_tag: doe-corruption, post_tag: gao-investigation, category: housing, category: idea-theft, post_tag: la-times-investigation, post_tag: public-rico, post_tag: rico, category: senator-insider-trading, post_tag: solydra, post_tag: steven-chu, post_tag: throwthem-all-out, post_tag: washington-corruption, post_tag: washington-corruption-investigation, category: worldnews]

Organizing a crowd-sourced public RICO Corruption Case filing on behalf of taxpayers

If the people that are supposed to catch the bad guys are being told to cover-up, and delay, prosecution, then it is up to the regular folks. If the designated crime-fighters won't do their jobs, then we have to.


There are already proven methods for "Class-Action's", "Public Interest Law Proceedings" and regular "RICO'S". Let's move a hybrid solution forward combined with the power of peer-to-peer public support.

Let's round-table on the process of filing a public RICO Corruption lawsuit on behalf of the taxpayers. If hundreds of billions of dollars have been shown to have been "conducted" and embezzled, then taxpayers should get that money back, right?

Lets discuss...

Tom Earl

[usa](#)



Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia



Long title An Act relating to the control of organized crime in the United States.

Colloquial acronym(s)

- OCCA
- RICO

Nickname(s) Organized Crime Control Act of 1970

Enacted by the [91st United States Congress](#)

Effective October 15, 1970

Citations

Public Law [91-452](#)

Stat. 84 [Stat. 922-3](#) aka 84 Stat. 941

Codification

Title(s) amended [18 U.S.C.: Crimes and Criminal Procedure](#)

U.S.C. sections created [18 U.S.C. ch. 96](#) §§ 1961-1968

Legislative history

- **Introduced in the Senate** as S. 30 by [John L. McClellan \(D-AR\)](#)
- **Passed the Senate** on January 23, 1970 ([74-1](#))
- **Passed the House** on October 7, 1970 ([341-26](#))
- **Signed into law by President Richard Nixon** on October 15, 1970

- [v](#)
- [i](#)
- [e](#)

Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act, commonly referred to as the **RICO Act** or simply **RICO**, is a [United States federal law](#) that provides for extended criminal penalties and a civil [cause of action](#) for acts performed as part of an ongoing [criminal organization](#). The RICO Act focuses specifically on [racketeering](#), and it allows the *leaders* of a syndicate to be tried for the crimes which they *ordered* others to do *or assisted them*, closing a perceived loophole that allowed someone who told a man to, for example, murder, to be exempt from the trial because he did not actually commit the crime personally.

RICO was enacted by section 901(a) of the [Organized Crime Control Act](#) of 1970 ([Pub.L. 91-452](#), 84 [Stat. 922](#), enacted October 15, 1970). RICO is codified as Chapter 96 of [Title 18 of the United States Code](#), 18 U.S.C. § 1961–1968. Under the close supervision of Senator John Little McClellan, the Chairman of the Committee for which he worked, G. Robert Blakey drafted the "RICO Act," Title IX of the Organized Crime Control Act of 1970, signed into law by Richard M. Nixon. While its original use in the 1970s was to prosecute the [Mafia](#) as well as others who were actively engaged in organized crime, its later application has been more widespread.

Beginning in 1972, 33 States adopted state RICO laws to be able to prosecute similar conduct.

Contents

- [1 Summary](#)
- [2 State laws](#)
- [3 RICO predicate offenses](#)
- [4 Application of RICO laws](#)
- [5 Famous cases](#)
 - [5.1 Hells Angels Motorcycle Club](#)
 - [5.2 Frank Tieri](#)
 - [5.3 Catholic sex abuse cases](#)

- [5.4 Gil Dozier](#)
 - [5.5 Key West PD](#)
 - [5.6 Michael Milken](#)
 - [5.7 Major League Baseball](#)
 - [5.8 Pro-life activists](#)
 - [5.9 Los Angeles Police Department](#)
 - [5.10 Mohawk Industries](#)
 - [5.11 Latin Kings](#)
 - [5.12 Gambino crime family](#)
 - [5.13 Lucchese Crime Family](#)
 - [5.14 Chicago Outfit](#)
 - [5.15 Michael Conahan and Mark Ciavarella](#)
 - [5.16 Scott W. Rothstein](#)
 - [5.17 AccessHealthSource](#)
- [6 International equivalents to RICO](#)
 - [7 See also](#)
 - [8 References](#)
 - [9 Further reading](#)
 - [10 External links](#)

Summary

Under RICO, a person who has committed "at least two acts of racketeering activity" drawn from a list of 35 crimes—27 [federal crimes](#) and 8 [state crimes](#)—within a 10-year period, if such acts are related in one of four specified ways to an "enterprise," can be charged with [racketeering](#). Those found guilty of racketeering can be fined up to \$25,000 and sentenced to 20 years in prison per racketeering count. In addition, the racketeer must forfeit all ill-gotten gains and interest in any business gained through a pattern of "racketeering activity." RICO also permits a private individual harmed by the actions of such racketeers to file a [civil suit](#); if successful, the individual can collect [treble damages](#) (damages in triple the amount of actual/compensatory damages).

When the [U.S. Attorney](#) decides to indict someone under RICO, he or she has the option of seeking a pre-trial [restraining order](#) or injunction to temporarily seize a defendant's assets and prevent the transfer of potentially forfeitable property, as well as require the defendant to put up a [performance bond](#). This provision was placed in the law because the owners of [Mafia](#)-related [shell corporations](#) often absconded with the assets. An injunction and/or performance bond ensures that there is something to seize in the event of a guilty verdict.

In many cases, the threat of a RICO indictment can force defendants to plead guilty to lesser charges, in part because the seizure of assets would make it difficult to pay a defense [attorney](#). Despite its harsh provisions, a RICO-related charge is considered easy to prove in court, as it focuses on patterns of behavior as opposed to criminal acts.^[1]

There is also a provision for private parties to sue. A "person damaged in his business or property" can sue one or more "racketeers". The plaintiff must prove the existence of an "enterprise". The defendant(s) are not the enterprise; in other words, the defendant(s) and the enterprise are not one and the same.^[2] There must be one of four specified relationships between the defendant(s) and the enterprise: either the defendant(s) invested the proceeds of the pattern of racketeering activity into the enterprise; or the defendant(s) acquired or maintained an interest in, or control over, the enterprise through the pattern of racketeering activity; or the defendant(s) conducted or participated in the affairs of the enterprise "through" the pattern of racketeering activity; or the defendant(s) conspired to do one of the above.^[3] In essence, the enterprise is either the 'prize,' 'instrument,' 'victim,' or 'perpetrator' of the racketeers.^[4] A civil RICO action can be filed in state or federal court.^[5]

Both the federal and civil components allow the recovery of [treble damages](#).

Although its primary intent was to deal with [organized crime](#), Blakey said that Congress never intended it to merely apply to the Mob. He once told *Time*, "We don't want one set of rules for people whose collars are blue or whose names end in vowels, and another set for those whose collars are white and have [Ivy League](#) diplomas."^[1]

State laws

Beginning in 1972, 33 states, as well as Puerto Rico and the US Virgin Islands, adopted state RICO laws to cover additional state offenses under a similar scheme.^[6]

RICO predicate offenses

Under the law, the meaning of **racketeering activity** is set out at [18 U.S.C. § 1961](#). As currently amended it includes:

- Any violation of state statutes against [gambling](#), [murder](#), [kidnapping](#), [extortion](#), [arson](#), [robbery](#), [bribery](#), dealing in [obscene matter](#), or dealing in a controlled substance or listed chemical (as defined in the [Controlled Substances Act](#));
- Any act of [bribery](#), [counterfeiting](#), [theft](#), [embezzlement](#), [fraud](#), dealing in obscene matter, [obstruction of justice](#), [slavery](#), [racketeering](#), [gambling](#), [money laundering](#), commission of [murder-for-hire](#), and many other offenses covered under the Federal criminal code ([Title 18](#));
- [Embezzlement](#) of [union](#) funds;
- [Bankruptcy](#) fraud or [securities fraud](#);
- [Drug trafficking](#); long-term and elaborate drug networks can also be prosecuted using the [Continuing Criminal Enterprise Statute](#);
- Criminal [copyright infringement](#);

- [Money laundering](#) and related offenses;
- Bringing in, aiding or assisting [aliens](#) in illegally entering the country (if the action was for financial gain);
- Acts of [terrorism](#).

Pattern of racketeering activity requires at least two acts of racketeering activity, one of which occurred after the effective date of this chapter and the last of which occurred within ten years (excluding any period of imprisonment) after the commission of a prior act of racketeering activity. The [U.S. Supreme Court](#) has instructed federal courts to follow the continuity-plus-relationship test in order to determine whether the facts of a specific case give rise to an established pattern. Predicate acts are related if they "have the same or similar purposes, results, participants, victims, or methods of commission, or otherwise are interrelated by distinguishing characteristics and are not isolated events." (*H.J. Inc. v. Northwestern Bell Telephone Co.*) Continuity is both a closed and open ended concept, referring to either a closed period of conduct, or to past conduct that by its nature projects into the future with a threat of repetition.

Application of RICO laws



This section **possibly contains original research**. Please [improve it](#) by [verifying](#) the claims made and adding [inline citations](#). Statements consisting only of original research may be removed. *(August 2009)*

Although some of the RICO predicate acts are [extortion](#) and [blackmail](#), one of the most successful applications of the RICO laws has been the ability to indict or sanction individuals for their behavior and actions committed against witnesses and victims in alleged retaliation or retribution for cooperating with federal law enforcement or intelligence agencies.

Violations of the RICO laws can be alleged in civil lawsuit cases or for criminal charges. In these instances charges can be brought against individuals or corporations in retaliation for said individuals or corporations working with law enforcement. Further, charges can also be brought against individuals or corporations who have sued or filed criminal charges against a defendant.

Anti-SLAPP ([strategic lawsuit against public participation](#)) laws can be applied in an attempt to curb alleged [abuses of the legal system](#) by individuals or corporations who utilize the courts as a weapon to retaliate against [whistle blowers](#), victims, or to silence another's speech. RICO could be alleged if it can be shown that lawyers and/or their clients conspired and collaborated to concoct fictitious legal complaints solely in retribution and retaliation for themselves having been brought before the courts.

Although the RICO laws may cover drug trafficking crimes in addition to other more traditional RICO predicate acts such as extortion, blackmail, and racketeering, large-scale and organized drug networks are now commonly prosecuted under the [Continuing Criminal Enterprise Statute](#), also known as the "Kingpin Statute". The CCE laws target only traffickers who are responsible for long-term and elaborate conspiracies; whereas the RICO law covers a variety of organized criminal behaviors.^[7]

Famous cases

Hells Angels Motorcycle Club

In 1979, the United States federal government went after [Sonny Barger](#) and several members and associates of the [Oakland](#) charter of the [Hells Angels](#) using RICO. In *United States v. Barger*, the prosecution team attempted to demonstrate a pattern of behavior to convict Barger and other members of the club of RICO offenses related to guns and illegal drugs. The jury acquitted Barger on the RICO charges with a [hung jury](#) on the predicate acts: "There was no proof it was part of club policy, and as much as they tried, the government could not come up with any incriminating minutes from any of our meetings mentioning drugs and guns."^{[8][9]}

Frank Tieri

On November 21, 1980, [Genovese crime family](#) boss [Frank "Funzi" Tieri](#) was the first [Mafia](#) boss to be convicted under the RICO Act.^[citation needed]

Catholic sex abuse cases

In some jurisdictions, RICO suits have been filed against Catholic [dioceses](#), using racketeering laws to prosecute the highers-up in the [episcopacy](#) for abuses committed by those under their authority.^[citation needed] A Cleveland grand jury cleared two bishops of racketeering charges, finding that their mishandling of sex abuse claims did not amount to criminal racketeering.^[citation needed] Certain lawyers and abuse advocates^[who?] have openly wondered why a similar suit was not filed against archbishop [Bernard Law](#) prior to his getting reassigned to [Vatican City](#).^{[10][11]}

Gil Dozier

[Louisiana Commissioner of Agriculture and Forestry Gil Dozier](#), in office from 1976 to 1980, faced [indictment](#) with violations of both the [Hobbs](#) and the RICO laws. He was accused of compelling companies doing business with his department to make campaign contributions on his behalf. On September 23, 1980, the [Baton Rouge](#)-based [United States District Court for the Middle District of Louisiana](#) convicted Dozier of five counts of [extortion](#) and [racketeering](#). The sentence of ten years imprisonment, later upgraded to eighteen when other offenses were determined, and a \$25,000 fine was suspended pending appeal, and Dozier remained free on [bail](#).^[12] He eventually served nearly four years until a presidential [commutation](#) freed him in 1986.^[13]

Key West PD

About June 1984, the [Key West Police Department](#) located in the [County of Monroe](#), Florida, was declared a criminal enterprise under the [federal](#) RICO statutes after a lengthy [United States Department of Justice](#) investigation. Several high-ranking officers of the department, including Deputy Police Chief Raymond Cassamayor, were arrested on federal charges of running a [protection racket](#) for illegal [cocaine](#) smugglers.^[14] At [trial](#), a witness testified he routinely delivered bags of [cocaine](#) to the Deputy Chief's office at City Hall.^[15]

Michael Milken

On March 29, 1989, [American business magnate Michael Milken](#) was indicted on 98 counts of racketeering and fraud relating to an investigation into an allegation of [insider trading](#) and other offenses. Milken was accused of using a wide-ranging network of contacts to manipulate stock and bond prices. It was one of the first occasions that a RICO indictment was brought against an individual with no ties to organized crime. Milken pled guilty to six lesser, non-RICO, securities fraud offenses rather than risk spending the rest of his life in prison, and ended up serving 22 months in prison.

On September 7, 1988, Milken's employer, [Drexel Burnham Lambert](#), was alleged to have participated in committing an element of RICO. They were later indicted under the legal doctrine that corporations are responsible for their employees' crimes. Drexel avoided RICO charges by pleading [no contest](#) to lesser felonies. While many sources say that Drexel pleaded guilty, in truth the firm only admitted it was "not in a position to dispute the allegations." If Drexel had been indicted, it would have had to post a performance bond of up to \$1

billion to avoid having its assets frozen. This would have taken precedence over all of the firm's other obligations—including the loans that provided 96 percent of its capital. If the bond ever had to be paid, its shareholders would have been practically wiped out. Since banks will not extend credit to a firm indicted under RICO, an indictment would have likely put Drexel out of business.^[16]

Major League Baseball

In 2002, the former minority owners of the [Montreal Expos](#) baseball team filed charges under the RICO Act against [Major League Baseball](#) commissioner [Bud Selig](#) and former Expos owner [Jeffrey Loria](#), claiming that Selig and Loria deliberately conspired to [devalue](#) the team for personal benefit in preparation for a move.^[17] If found liable, Major League Baseball could have been responsible for up to \$300 million in [punitive damages](#). The case lasted two years, successfully stalling the Expos' move to [Washington](#) or contraction during that time. It was eventually sent to [arbitration](#) where the arbiters ruled in favor of Major League Baseball,^[18] permitting the move to Washington to take place.

Pro-life activists

RICO laws were successfully cited in [NOW v. Scheidler](#), 510 U.S. 249, 114 S. Ct. 798, 127 L.Ed. 2d 99 (1994), a suit in which certain parties, including the [National Organization for Women](#), sought damages and an injunction against pro-life activists who physically block access to [abortion](#) clinics. The Court held that a RICO enterprise does not need an economic motive, and that the Pro-Life Action Network could therefore qualify as a RICO enterprise. The Court remanded for consideration of whether PLAN committed the requisite acts in a pattern of racketeering activity.

Los Angeles Police Department

In April, 2000, Federal judge William J. Rea in Los Angeles, ruling in one [Rampart Scandal](#) case, said that the plaintiffs could pursue RICO claims against the LAPD, an unprecedented finding. The idea that a police organization could be characterized as a racketeering enterprise shook up City Hall and further damaged the already tarnished image of the LAPD. However, in July, 2001, U.S. District Judge Gary A. Feess said that the plaintiffs do not have standing to sue the LAPD under RICO because they are alleging personal injuries rather than economic or property damage.^[19]

Mohawk Industries

On April 26, 2006, the [Supreme Court](#) heard *Mohawk Industries, Inc. v. Williams*, 547 U.S. 516 (2006), which concerned what sort of corporations fell under the scope of RICO. Mohawk Industries had allegedly hired [illegal aliens](#), in violation of RICO. The court was asked to decide whether Mohawk Industries, along with recruiting agencies, constitutes an 'enterprise' that can be prosecuted under RICO, but in June of that year dismissed the case and remanded it to Court of Appeals.^[20]

Latin Kings

On August 20, 2006, in [Tampa, Florida](#), most of the state leadership members of the [street gang](#), the [Latin Kings](#), were arrested in connection with RICO conspiracy charges to engage in racketeering and currently await trial. The operation, called "Broken Crown", targeted statewide leadership of the Latin Kings. The raid occurred at the Caribbean American Club. Along with [Hillsborough County Sheriff's Office](#), [Tampa Police Department](#), the State Attorney's Office, the [FBI, Immigration and Customs Enforcement](#), and the federal [Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms](#) were involved in the operation. Included in the arrest were leader Gilberto Santana from Brooklyn NY, Captain Luis Hernandez from Miami FL, Affiliate Celina Hernandez, Affiliate Michael Rocca, Affiliate Jessica Ramirez, Affiliate Reinaldo Arroyo, Affiliate Samuel Alvarado, Omari Tolbert, Edwin DeLeon, and many others, totaling 39.

Gambino crime family

Also, in Tampa, on October 16, 2006, four members of the [Gambino crime family](#) ([Capo Ronald Trucchio](#), Terry Scaglione, Steven Catalano and associate Kevin McMahon) were tried under RICO statutes, found guilty and sentenced to [life in prison](#).

Lucchese Crime Family

In the mid 1990s, prosecuting attorneys [Gregory O'Connell](#) and [Charles Rose](#) used RICO charges to bring down the [Lucchese family](#) within an 18-month period. Dismantling the Lucchese family had a profound financial impact on previously Mafia held businesses such as construction, garment, and garbage hauling. Here they dominated and extorted money through taxes, dues, and fees. An example of this extortion was through the garbage business. Hauling of garbage from the World Trade Center cost the building owners \$1.2 million per year to be removed when the Mafia monopolized the business, as compared to \$150,000 per year when competitive bids could be sought.^[21]

Chicago Outfit

In 2005, the [U.S. Department of Justice's Operation Family Secrets](#) indicted 15 [Outfit](#) members and associates under RICO predicates. Five defendants were convicted of RICO violations and other crimes. Six plead guilty, two died before trial and one was too sick to be tried.

Michael Conahan and Mark Ciavarella

A federal grand jury in the Middle District of Pennsylvania handed down a 48-count indictment against former [Luzerne County](#) Court of Common Pleas Judges [Michael Conahan](#) and [Mark Ciavarella](#).^[22] The judges were charged with RICO after allegedly committing acts of [wire fraud](#), [mail fraud](#), [tax evasion](#), [money laundering](#), and [honest services fraud](#). The judges were accused of taking [kickbacks](#) for housing juveniles, that the judges convicted of mostly petty crimes, at a private detention center. The incident was dubbed by many local and national newspapers as the "[Kids for cash scandal](#)".^[23] On February 18, 2011, a federal jury found Michael Ciavarella guilty of racketeering because of his involvement in accepting illegal payments from Robert Mericle, the developer of PA Child Care, and Attorney Robert Powell, a co-owner of the facility. Ciavarella is facing 38 other counts in federal court.^[24]

Scott W. Rothstein

[Scott W. Rothstein](#) is a disbarred lawyer and the former managing shareholder, chairman, and [chief executive officer](#) of the now-defunct [Rothstein Rosenfeldt Adler](#) law firm. He is accused of funding his philanthropy, political contributions, law firm salaries, and an extravagant lifestyle with a massive 1.2 billion dollar [Ponzi scheme](#). On December 1, 2009, Rothstein turned himself in to federal authorities and was subsequently arrested on charges related to the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO).^[25] Although his arraignment plea was not guilty, Rothstein cooperated with the government and reversed his plea to guilty of five federal crimes on January 27, 2010. Bond was denied by U.S. Magistrate Judge Robin Rosenbaum, who ruled that due to his ability to forge documents, he was considered a flight risk.^[26] On June 9, 2010, Rothstein received a 50-year prison sentence after a hearing in federal court in Fort Lauderdale.^[27]

AccessHealthSource

On eleven defendants were indicted on RICO charges for allegedly assisting AccessHealthSource, a local health care provider, in obtaining and maintaining lucrative contracts with local and state government entities in the city of El Paso, Texas, "through bribery of and kickbacks to elected officials or himself and others, extortion under color of authority, fraudulent schemes and artifices, false pretenses, promises and representations and deprivation of the right of citizens to the honest services of their elected local officials" (see indictment).^[28]

International equivalents to RICO

The US RICO legislation has other equivalents in the rest of the world. In spite of [Interpol](#) having a standardized definition of RICO-like crimes, the interpretation and national implementation in legislation (and enforcement) widely varies. Most nations do cooperate with the US on RICO enforcement only where their own related laws are specifically broken, but

this is in line with the Interpol protocols for such matters.

By nation, alphabetically

- In Australia the [Australian Crime Commission](#) has powers based on similar legislation and regulations.^[*citation needed*]
- In Canada the [Royal Canadian Mounted Police](#) and the [Office of the Superintendent of Financial Institutions](#) enforce rules and regulations that cumulatively are equivalent to RICO.
- New Zealand has a similar arrangement and commission to Australia.

Without other nations enforcing similar legislation to RICO many cross border RICO cases would not be possible. In the overall body of RICO cases that went to trial, at least 50% have had some non-US enforcement component to them. The offshoring of money away from the US finance system as part racketeering (and especially money laundering) is typically a major contributing factor to this.

However, other countries have laws that enable the government to seize property with unlawful origins. Mexico and Colombia both have specific laws that define the participation in criminal organizations as a separate crime (see Ley Federal contra la Delincuencia Organizada),^[29] and separate laws that allow the seizure of goods related with these crimes. (see Ley de Extinción de Dominio).^[30] This latter provides a specific chapter titled "International Cooperation", which instructs Mexican authorities to cooperate with foreign authorities with respect to organized crime assets within Mexico, and provides the framework by which Mexican authorities may politely request the cooperation of foreign authorities with respect to assets located outside of Mexico, in terms of any international instruments they may be party to.

Arguably, this may be construed as allowing the application of the RICO Act in Mexico, provided the relevant international agreements exist among Mexico and countries with RICO or RICO-equivalent provisions.

See also



- [Continuing Criminal Enterprise](#)
- [Patriot Act](#)
- [Criminal Tribes Act](#)

References

1. [^] [Jump up to: ^a ^b](#) Sanders, Alain; Painton, Priscilla (1989-08-21), *Law: Showdown At Gucci*, *TIME*, retrieved 2009-09-30
2. [Jump up [^]](#) See page 20 of The Anti-Corruption Handbook: How to Protect Your Business in the Global Marketplace, by William P. Olsen, (c) John Wiley & Sons, Inc. (Hoboken, New Jersey)
3. [Jump up [^]](#) 18 USC § 1962.
4. [Jump up [^]](#) "As the Supreme Court noted in *National Organization for Women, Inc. v. Scheidler*, 510 U.S. 249, 259 n.5 (1994), one commentator has used "the terms 'prize,' 'instrument,' 'victim,' and 'perpetrator' to describe the four separate roles the enterprise may play in § 1962." (citing G. Robert Blakeley, *The RICO Civil Fraud Action in Context: Reflections on Bennett v. Berg*, 58 *Notre Dame L. Rev.* 237, 307-25 (1982)). See page 32 of *RICO State by State: A Guide to Litigation under the State Racketeering Statutes*, John E. Floyd, Section of Antitrust Law, American Bar Association, Library of Congress Catalog Card Number 97-70903, [ISBN 1-57073-396-1](#)
5. [Jump up [^]](#) Van Over, Gil, *Can You Be Subject to a RICO Claim?*, Dealer Magazine, retrieved 2009-09-30^[*dead link*]
6. [Jump up [^]](#) [Introduction: RICO State by State: A Guide to Litigation Under the State Racketeering Statutes, Second Edition | Solo, Small Firm and General Practice Division](#). Americanbar.org. Retrieved on 2014-02-15.
7. [Jump up [^]](#) Carlson, K (1993), *"Prosecuting Criminal Enterprises"*, *National Criminal Justice Reference Series* (United States: Bureau of Justice Statistics Special Report): 12, retrieved December 28, 2009.
8. [Jump up [^]](#) *Hell's Angel: The Life and Times of Sonny Barger and The Hell's Angels Motorcycle Club*, Ralph 'Sonny' Barger, with Keith and Kent Zimmerman, 2000
9. [Jump up [^]](#) *"Organized Crime Research"*. Klaus von Lampe. 2000. Retrieved 2007-12-08.
10. [Jump up [^]](#) Weigel, David. *"But Why Isn't Bernard Law in Jail? (Part 2)"*. Slate.com. Retrieved 2012-04-24.
11. [Jump up [^]](#) *Mitered in the Mob?*^[*dead link*]
12. [Jump up [^]](#) *"707 F.2d 862: United States of America, Plaintiff-appellee, v. Gilbert L. Dozier, Defendant-appellant"*. law.justia.com. Retrieved May 1, 2013.
13. [Jump up [^]](#) *"Inmate Locator"*. bop.gov. Retrieved June 18, 2013.
14. [Jump up [^]](#) *Key West Police Department Called a 'Criminal Enterprise'*, New York Times, 1984-07-01, retrieved 2009-09-30

15. [Jump up](#) ^ Klingener, Nancy (2005-05-22), *From wrecking to smuggling to development, corruption, investigations have long history*, Key West Citizen, archived from [the original](#) on 2007-03-20, retrieved 2009-09-30
16. [Jump up](#) ^ Stone, Dan G. (1990), *April Fools: An Insider's Account of the Rise and Collapse of Drexel Burnham*, New York City: Donald I. Fine, [ISBN 1-55611-228-9](#)
17. [Jump up](#) ^ Chass, Murray (2002-07-17), *BASEBALL: A Group's Racketeering Suit Brings Baseball to Full Bristle*, New York Times, retrieved 2009-09-30
18. [Jump up](#) ^ *Ruling clears way for move to D.C.*, ESPN.com and [Associated Press](#), 2004-01-15, retrieved 2009-09-30
19. [Jump up](#) ^ Weinstein, HENRY (2001-07-13). "Use of RICO Law in Rampart Cases Weakened". *Los Angeles Times*. Retrieved 2013-11-06.
20. [Jump up](#) ^ "Mohawk Industries, Inc. v. Williams, Shirley, et al." (PDF). Supreme Court of the United States. Retrieved 2008-05-27.
21. [Jump up](#) ^ Volkman, Ernest (1998). *Gangbusters: The Destruction of America's Last Mafia Dynasty*. 53 Shore Road, Winchester, MA 01890: Faber and Faber, Inc. [ISBN 0-571-19942-9](#).
22. [Jump up](#) ^ *Indictment - USA v. Conahan and Ciavarella*, 2009-09-09, retrieved 2009-09-12
23. [Jump up](#) ^ Morgan-Besecker, Terrie (2009-09-10), *Ex-judges hit with 48 counts*, Times Leader, retrieved 2009-09-11
24. [Jump up](#) ^ *Jury finds Ciavarella guilty on first of 39 counts*, Times Leader, 2011-02-18, retrieved 2011-02-18
25. [Jump up](#) ^ "Scott Rothstein Charging Document". Scribd.com. Retrieved 2012-04-24.
26. [Jump up](#) ^ <http://www.sun-sentinel.com/media/acrobat/2009-12/50820067.pdf>
27. [Jump up](#) ^ Weaver, Jay (2010-06-09). "Ponzi schemer Scott Rothstein gets 50-year sentence". *Miami Herald*. Retrieved 2010-06-10.
28. [Jump up](#) ^ Bracamontes, Ramon. "Public corruption: Feds allege bribery, kickbacks". El Paso Times. Retrieved 2012-04-24.
29. [Jump up](#) ^ <http://www.diputados.gob.mx/LeyesBiblio/pdf/101.pdf>
30. [Jump up](#) ^ <http://www.diputados.gob.mx/LeyesBiblio/pdf/LFED.pdf>

Further reading

- *Criminal RICO: 18 U.S.C. 1961-1968: A Manual for Federal Prosecutors*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Dept. of Justice, Criminal Division, Organized Crime and Racketeering Section, [2009].
- United States. Congress. House. Committee on the Judiciary. Subcommittee No. 5. *Organized Crime Control. Hearings ... Ninety-first Congress, Second Session on S.30, and Related Proposals, Relating to the Control of Organized Crime in the U.S. [held] May 20, 21, 27; June 10, 11, 17; July 23, and Aug. 5, 1970*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1970.

External links

- [RICO Act from Cornell University's U. S. Code database](#)

[hide]

- [y](#)
- [t](#)
- [e](#)

Federal prosecution of public corruption in the United States

Statutes

- [Mail and wire fraud \(Honest services fraud\)](#)
- [Hobbs Act](#)
- [Travel Act](#)
- **Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act**
- [Foreign Corrupt Practices Act](#)
- [Program bribery](#)

Precedents

- [United States v. Germaine](#) (1879)
- [Burton v. United States](#) (1905, 1906)
- [Glasser v. United States](#) (1942)
- [United States v. Hood](#) (1952)
- [United States v. Johnson](#) (1966)
- [United States v. Nardello](#) (1969)
- [United States v. Brewster](#) (1972)
- [United States v. Helstoski](#) (1979)
- [United States v. Gillock](#) (1980)
- [Dixson v. United States](#) (1984)
- [McNally v. United States](#) (1987)
- [McCormick v. United States](#) (1991)
- [Evans v. United States](#) (1992)
- [Salinas v. United States](#) (1997)
- [United States v. Sun-Diamond Growers of California](#) (1999)
- [Fischer v. United States](#) (2000)
- [Sabri v. United States](#) (2004)
- [Skilling v. United States](#) (2010)

- [Federal officials](#)

Convictions

- [State officials](#)
- [Local officials](#)
- [Unincorporated territory officials](#)

Investigations

- [Abscam](#)
- [Alaska political corruption probe](#)
- [BRISPEC](#)
- [Operation Bid Rig](#)
- [Operation Board Games](#)
- [Operation Boprot](#)
- [Operation Greyford](#)
- [Operation G-Sting](#)
- [Operation Plunder Dome](#)
- [Operation Rocky Top](#)
- [Operation Silver Shovel](#)
- [Operation Tennessee Waltz](#)

Scandals

- [Congressional Post Office](#)
- [Cunningham](#)
- [Jack Abramoff CNMI](#)
- [House banking](#)
- [Koreagate](#)
- [Oregon land fraud](#)
- [Rod Blagojevich](#)
- [Salvatore DiMasi](#)
- [Teapot Dome](#)
- [Wedtech](#)
- [William J. Jefferson](#)

Topics

- [Public Integrity Section](#)
- [Speech or Debate Clause](#)

Categories:

- [Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act](#)
- [1970 in law](#)
- [91st United States Congress](#)
- [Organized crime terminology](#)
- [United States federal criminal legislation](#)
- [American Mafia events](#)
- [Inchoate offenses](#)
- [United States federal public corruption crime](#)

1.

[**Court Links - Public Access to Court Electronic Records**](#)

First Circuit - BAP · Second Circuit (Cases filed prior to 01/01/2010) Puerto Rico - ECF CM/ECF-DC V5.1.1 Court RSS Feed Court Information · Rhode Island ...
www.pacer.gov/psco/cgi-bin/links.pl - [View by kquick Proxy](#) - [Highlight](#)

2.

[**RICO Overview/Services | Regulated Industries Complaints Office**](#)

A description of RICO's mission with links to key services ... a complaint may be filed with RICO's Consumer Resource Center (CRC). ... Public Education. RICO ...
cca.hawaii.gov/rico/about/ - [View by kquick Proxy](#) - [Highlight](#)

3.

[**RICO Name Search - Hawaii.gov**](#)

Legal Actions that were filed by RICO before 1986 may not be reflected on this ... of Hawaii Department of Commerce and Consumer Affairs (DCCA) as a public ...
web.dcca.hawaii.gov/RICO/RICO_RCNT_NAMES/RICO_Disclaimer.aspx - [View by kquick Proxy](#) - [Highlight](#)

4.

[**Georgia Code - Crimes and Offenses - Title 16, Section 16-14-13 ...**](#)

(5) The name and address of the investigative agency filing the RICO lien notice trust agreement is a matter of public record prior to the filing of any RICO lien ...
law.onecle.com/georgia/16/16-14-13.html - [View by kquick Proxy](#) - [Highlight](#)

5.

[**Secret witnesses in Chevron's RICO trial raise constitutional concerns**](#)

Oct 20, 2013 ... After the testimony, redacted transcripts could be made public, ... Ecuador, in February 2011, according to a memorandum filed last May by ...
features.blogs.fortune.cnn.com/2013/10/20/secret-witnesses-in-chevrons-rico-trial-raise-constitutional-concerns/ - [View by kquick Proxy](#) - [Highlight](#)

6.

[**Stratagem for Rico Act lawsuit against DCFS/CPS | Fathers 4 Justice ...**](#)

Aug 24, 2013 ... Los Angeles County needs a RICO filed too- Public Opposition to Strategic ... This letter is to serve as a public opposition to the Strategic Plan ...
www.fathers-4-justice.us/content/stratagem-rico-act-lawsuit-against-dcfs-cps - [View by kquick Proxy](#) - [Highlight](#)

7.

[**Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Cases, Dockets ...**](#)

Federal Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations cases filed in U.S. District Courts and U.S. Courts of ... Cause Of Action: Racketeering (RICO) Act.
dockets.justia.com/browse/noscat-13/nos-470 - [View by kquick Proxy](#) - [Highlight](#)

8.

[**Cases, Dockets and Filings in the District of Puerto Rico, U.S. District ...**](#)

The Justia Federal District Court Filings & Dockets site republishes public litigation records retrieved from the US Federal District Courts. These filings and ...

dockets.justia.com/browse/state-puerto_rico/court-prdce - [View by lqquick Proxy](#) - [Highlight](#)

9.

[Read amended RICO complaint - Chevron](#)

Launching Public Attacks on Chevron Based on Misleading damages figure, which they never filed in Lago Agri0 but instead t0uted in the press and, via co-

[fellowship](#)

EXPENSES?

You can get the money from Glenn Greenwald's Omidyar billions or Arianna Huffington's "Wandering Billionaire" or Koch or Adelson or Soros, depending on your persuasion, and many others on this site. Their email addresses, phone numbers and contact info are very available online. It costs \$220,000.00 to set-up and take, all the way to prosecution, such a public interest RICO if you just hire a big public interest law firm to do it, or \$15,000.00 if you just crowd fund it and bootstrap it.

Pick a bad guy, or guys, draft the filing, get real lawyers to edit-check it, pay the filing fee and get it filed.

DAN- LATIMES

[status](#)