

# The Secrets of Successful RICO Project Operations

Mon, 25 Oct 2021 17:00:00, admin45789, [post\_tag: blogging, post\_tag: photography, category: news]

By rigging HUD, SSA, DOE, and other public benefits determinations, to harm the funding, payments, witness fees, informant fees, whistle-blower awards and stock market profits of adversaries, the Defendants engage in "felony crime". The purpose of a RICO case is to cripple the whole crime organization via numerous charges, which shall be brought against multiple defendants, under multiple liability, which means that prosecutors will find the underlying elements of these acts and then have conspiracy on top of it, and then each and every charge against the perpetrators is separate and runs consecutively.

For example when one of the Silicon Valley tech oligarchs is charged with bribery and conspiracy that charge may have led to 10-15 years in prison in the old days, now under RICO, when found guilty of racketeering he can be fined up to \$25,000 and sentenced to 20 years in prison per each racketeering count.

RICO charges, as part of the charge set, will make these convictions easier. In many cases, the threat of a RICO indictment can force these defendants to plead guilty to lesser charges, in part because the seizure of their assets would make it difficult to pay a defense attorney for a case this large. Racketeering and RICO-related crimes are grave and require skilled defense, that is prepared to face the government-assembled legal teams in federal court. Essentially, "it means the convicted would never see the light of day again, they are never getting out."

Despite its harsh provisions, these RICO-related charges are easy to prove in court, as they focus on patterns of behavior as opposed to criminal acts. The government officials and their tech oligarch partners were audacious in publicly promoting their crimes and their above-the-law attitudes. RICO, here, also permits a private individual "damaged in his business or property" by a "racketeer" to file a civil suit. The government should, thus, join with Plaintiffs in such a case.

The plaintiff has proven the existence of an "enterprise".

The defendant(s) are not the enterprise; in other words, the defendant(s) and the enterprise are not one and the same.

The defendant(s) meet the construct of four specified relationships:

1. the defendant(s) and the enterprise: either the defendant(s) invested the proceeds of the pattern of racketeering activity into the enterprise;
2. the defendant(s) acquired or maintained an interest in, or control of, the enterprise through the pattern of racketeering activity;
3. the defendant(s) conducted or participated in the affairs of the enterprise "through" the pattern of racketeering activity ;
4. the defendant(s) conspired to do all of the above crimes.

The enterprise, herein, is the 'prize,' 'instrument,' 'victim,' or 'perpetrator' of the racketeers. A civil RICO action for this matter should be filed in state AND federal court.

A tech-RICO seeks that both the criminal and civil components that will allow the recovery of treble damages (damages in triple the amount of actual/compensatory damages) for Plaintiff.

Our evidence has focused on patterns of behavior AND criminal acts.

We seek multiple liability (charges brought against multiple defendants, which means if prosecutors now find the underlying elements of these acts, you have conspiracy on top of it)

Each and every charge against defendants is separate and runs consecutively. Admissible hearsay evidence is offered in this case. Associative evidence is allowed (guilt by association meaning if a defendant profited off the crimes of an organization even if he was not directly involved with the crime himself he shall still be charged as a co-conspirator and go all the way up the chain of command).

This case structure will incentivize the operatives (soldati) at the corrupt law firms, CPA's, lobbyists and media outlets to flip, or roll-over, on the bosses.

This case structure allows both the government and Plaintiff to engage in substantial asset seizure (over \$900B). The owners of the racketeering shell corporations, real estate scams and trusts are well-known to often abscond with the assets. An injunction and/or performance bond ensures that there is something to seize in the event of a guilty verdict. In addition, the racketeers must forfeit all ill-gotten gains and interest in any business gained through a pattern of "racketeering activity." Over 1000 false-front shells, trusts, dark money and PAC assets are known to be held by the defendants.

While government bosses may be embarrassed, or find this matter "politically awkward", no citizen in America cares. Any boss who delays or obfuscates this case further should consider that they will, by such action, legally define themselves as a member of the above defined "enterprise" and will be criminally referred to FBI for prosecution.

There is no time like TODAY, to get this matter moving.